arXiv:1607.02847v1 [math.OA] 11 Jul 2016

Cartan subalgebras of tensor products of free quantum group factors with arbitrary factors

Yusuke Isono*

Abstract

Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a free (unitary or orthogonal) quantum group. We prove that for any non-amenable subfactor $N \subset L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$, which is an image of a faithful normal conditional expectation, and for any σ-finite factor B, the tensor product $N \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} B$ has no Cartan subalgebras. This generalizes our previous work that provides the same result when B is finite. In the proof, we establish Ozawa–Popa and Popa–Vaes's weakly compact action on the continuous core of $L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} B$ as the one *relative to* B, by using an operator valued weight to B and the central weak amenability of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$.

1 Introduction

Let M be a von Neumann algebra. A *Cartan subalgebra* $A \subset M$ is an abelian von Neumann subalgebra, which is an image of a faithful normal conditional expectation, such that (i) A is maximal abelian and (ii) the normalizer $\mathcal{N}_M(A)$ generates M as a von Neumann algebra [FM75]. Here $\mathcal{N}_M(A)$ is given by $\{u \in \mathcal{U}(M) \mid uAu^* = A\}$.

The group measure space construction of Murray–von Neumann gives a typical example of a Cartan subalgebra. Indeed, the canonical subalgebra $L^\infty(X,\mu) \subset L^\infty(X,\mu) \rtimes \Gamma$ is Cartan whenever the given action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X,\mu)$ is free. More generally, one can associate any (not necessarily free) group action with a Cartan subalgebra by its orbit equivalence relation. Conversely when M has separable predual, any Cartan subalgebra $A \subset M$ is realized by an orbit equivalence relation (with a cocycle), and hence by a group action. Thus the notion of Cartan subalgebras is closely related to group actions. In particular if M has no Cartan subalgebras, then it can not be constructed by any group actions. It was an open problem to find such a von Neumann algebra.

The first result for this direction was given by Connes. He constructed a II_1 factor which is not isomorphic to its opposite algebra, so it is particularly not isomorphic to any group action (without cocycle) von Neumann algebra [Co74]. Voiculescu then provided a complete solution to this problem, by proving free group factors $L\mathbb{F}_n$ ($n \geq 2$) have no Cartan subalgebras [Vo95]. He used his celebrated *free entropy* techniques, and it was later developed to give other examples [Sh00, Ju05].

After these pioneering works, Ozawa and Popa introduced a completely new framework to study this subject. Among other things, they proved that free group factors are *strongly solid* [OP07], that is, for any diffuse amenable subalgebra $A \subset L\mathbb{F}_n$, the von Neumann algebra generated by the normalizer $\mathcal{N}_{L\mathbb{F}_n}(A)$ remains amenable. Since $L\mathbb{F}_n$ itself is non-amenable, this immediately yields that $L\mathbb{F}_n$ has no Cartan subalgebras. Note that strong solidity is stable under taking subalgebras and hence any non-amenable subfactor of $L\mathbb{F}_n$ also has no Cartan subalgebras.

*Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, 606-8502, Kyoto, Japan
E-mail: isono@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp

The proof of Ozawa and Popa consist of two independent steps. First, by using weak amenability of $\mathbb{F}_n$, they observed that the normalizer group acts *weakly compactly* on a given amenable subalgebra. Second, combining this weakly compact action with *Popa's deformation and intertwining techniques* [Po01, Po03], they constructed a state which is central with respect to the normalizer group. Thus they obtained that the normalizer group generates an amenable von Neumann algebra. Since these techniques are applied to any finite crossed product $B \rtimes \mathbb{F}_n$ with the W*CMAP (see Subsection 2.4), they also proved that for any finite factor B with the W*CMAP, the tensor product $L\mathbb{F}_n \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} B$ has no Cartan subalgebras.

To remove the W*CMAP assumption on $B \rtimes \mathbb{F}_n$, Popa and Vaes introduced a notion of *relative weakly compact action* [PV11]. This is an appropriate "relativization" of the first step above in the view of the relative tensor product $L^2(B \rtimes \mathbb{F}_n) \otimes_B L^2(B \rtimes \mathbb{F}_n)$. In particular this *only* requires the weak amenability of $\mathbb{F}_n$. Thus by modifying the proof in the second step above, they obtained, among other things, the tensor product $L\mathbb{F}_n \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} B$ has no Cartan subalgebras for any finite factor B.

The aim of the present paper is to develop these techniques to study type III von Neumann algebras. More specifically we replace the free group factor $L\mathbb{F}_n$ with the *free quantum group factor*, which is a type III factor in most cases. This has already been studied by ourselves [Is12, Is13] when B is finite. In the general case however, namely, when B is a type III factor, we could not provide a satisfactory answer to this problem, and this will be discussed in this article.

We note that the first solution to the Cartan subalgebra problem for type III factors in our framework was obtained by Houdayer and Ricard [HR10]. They followed the proof of [OP07] by exploiting techniques in [CH08], that is, the use of Popa's deformation and intertwining techniques together with the *continuous core decomposition*. While Houdayer and Ricard followed the idea of [OP07], our approach in [Is12, Is13] was based on [PV12]. In particular, in the second step above, we made use of *Ozawa's condition (AO)* [Oz03] (or *bi-exactness*, see Subsection 2.3) at the level of the continuous core. In this article, we stand again on the use of bi-exactness, and we will further develop techniques of [Is13]. See [BHR12] for other examples of type III factors with no Cartan subalgebras, and [CS11, CSU11] for other works on Cartan subalgebras of bi-exact group von Neumann algebras.

The following theorem is the main observation of this article. This should be regarded as a generalization of [Is13, Theorem B], and this allows us to obtain a satisfactory answer to the Cartan problem in the type III setting. See Section 2 for items in this theorem.

Theorem A. *Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a compact quantum group with the Haar state h, and B a type III_1 factor with a faithful normal state φ_B. Put $M := L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} B$ and $\varphi := h \otimes \varphi_B$. Let $C_{\varphi_B}(B)$ and $C_\varphi(M)$ be continuous cores of B and M with respect to φ_B and φ, and regard $C_{\varphi_B}(B) \subset C_\varphi(M)$. Let Tr be a semifinite trace on $C_\varphi(M)$ with $\mathrm{Tr}|_{C_{\varphi_B}(B)}$ semifinite, and $p \in C_\varphi(M)$ a projection with $\mathrm{Tr}(p) < \infty$.*

Assume that $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is bi-exact and centrally weakly amenable with Cowling–Haagerup constant 1. Then for any amenable von Neumann subalgebra $A \subset pC_\varphi(M)p$, we have either one of the following conditions.

(i) *We have $A \preceq_{C_\varphi(M)} C_{\varphi_B}(B)$.*

(ii) *The von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{N}_{pC_\varphi(M)p}(A)''$ is amenable relative to $C_{\varphi_B}(B)$.*

As a consequence of the main theorem, we obtain the following corollary. This is the desired one since our main example, free quantum groups, satisfy assumptions in this corollary. See [Is13, Theorem C] for other examples of quantum groups satisfying these

assumptions. Below we say that an inclusion of von Neumann algebras $A \subset M$ is *with expectation* if there is a faithful normal conditional expectation.

Corollary B. *Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a compact quantum group as in Theorem A. Then for any non-amenable subfactor $N \subset L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ with expectation and any σ-finite factor B, the tensor product $N \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} B$ has no Cartan subalgebras.*

For the proof of Theorem A, we will establish a weakly compact action on the continuous core of $L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} B$ as the one *relative to* B. The central weak amenability of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is used to find approximation maps on the continuous core, which are relative to $B \rtimes \mathbb{R}$. Then combined with the amenability of $\mathbb{R}$, we construct appropriate approximation maps on the core relative to B. In this process, since B is not with expectation in the core, we use operator valued weighs instead. This is our strategy for the first step.

For the second step, although we go along a very similar line to [Is13], we need a rather different (and general) approach to the proof. See the comment before Lemma 4.3. We note that this is why we assume only bi-exactness of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$, and do not need the notion of *condition (AOC)*$^+$ as in [Is12, Is13].

This paper organizes as follows. In Section 2, we recall fundamental facts for our paper, such as Tomita–Takesaki theory, free quantum groups, bi-exactness, weak amenability, and Popa's intertwining techniques.

In Section 3, we study a generalization of the relative weakly compact action on the continuous core, by constructing appropriate approximation maps on the core. The main tools for this construction are: operator valued weights; central weak amenability; and weak containment with amenability of $\mathbb{R}$. To use amenability in this context, we give some observations in Section A and particularly prove the existence of some approximation maps (Lemma A.10).

In Section 4, we prove our main theorem. We follow the proof of [Is13] with the weakly compact action given in Section 3. Actually we need approximation maps again to use bi-exactness, and we study this part with Corollary A.9. We explain it in details in the comment before Lemma 4.3.

Finally in Section 5, we prove Corollary B. We prepare a simple lemma in Subsection 2.5, that enables us to treat subfactors of free quantum group von Neumann algebras. See Lemma 2.10.

In this paper, we do *not* assume a von Neumann algebra has separable predual nor is σ-finite. This is because in Sections 3 and 4, we treat von Neumann algebras generated by left and right actions for a bi-module, which can be non σ-finite von Neumann algebras.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank Yuki Arano, Kei Hasegawa, and Reiji Tomatsu for useful comments on the appendix section, and Narutaka Ozawa and Stefaan Vaes for fruitful conversations. This research was carried out while he was visiting the University of California Los Angeles. He gratefully acknowledges the kind hospitality of them. He was supported by JSPS, Research Fellow of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Tomita–Takesaki theory and operator valued weights

We first recall some notions in Tomita–Takesaki theory. We refer the reader to [Ta01] for this theory, and to [Ha77a, Ha77b] and [Ta01, Chapter IX.§4] for operator valued weights.

Let M be a von Neumann algebra and φ a faithful normal semifinite weight on M. Put $\mathfrak{n}_\varphi := \{x \in M \mid \varphi(x^*x) < \infty\}$ and denote by $\Lambda_\varphi \colon \mathfrak{n}_\varphi \to L^2(M,\varphi)$ the canonical embedding. We denote the *modular operator, modular conjugation*, and *modular action* for $M \subset \mathbb{B}(L^2(M,\varphi))$ by Δ_φ, J_φ and σ^φ respectively. The Hilbert space $L^2(M,\varphi)$ with J_φ and with its *positive cone* $\mathcal{P}_\varphi$ is called the *standard representation for* M [Ta01, Chapter IX.§1], which does not depends on the choice of φ. Any state on M is represented by a vector state, from which the vector is uniquely chosen from $\mathcal{P}_\varphi$. Any element $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(M)$ is written as $\alpha = \mathrm{Ad}\, u$ by a unique $u \in \mathbb{B}(L^2(M,\varphi))$ which preserves the standard representation structure. The crossed product $M \rtimes_{\sigma^\varphi} \mathbb{R}$ by the modular action is called the *continuous core* [Ta01, Chapter XII.§1] and is written as $C_\varphi(M)$, which is equipped with the dual weight $\widehat{\varphi}$ and the canonical trace $\mathrm{Tr}_\varphi := \widehat{\varphi}(h_\varphi^{-1}\,\cdot)$, where h_φ is a self-adjoint positive closed operator affiliated with $L\mathbb{R}$. For any other faithful normal semifinite weigh ψ, there is a family of unitaries $([D\varphi, D\psi]_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ in M called the *Connes cocycle* [Ta01, Definition VIII.3.4]. This gives a cocycle conjugate for modular actions of φ and ψ, and hence there is a $*$-isomorphism

$$\Pi_{\psi,\varphi} \colon C_\varphi(M) \to C_\psi(M), \quad \Pi_{\psi,\varphi}(x) = x \quad (x \in M), \quad \Pi_{\psi,\varphi}(\lambda_t^\varphi) = [D\psi, D\varphi]_t^* \lambda_t^\psi \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}).$$

It holds that $\Pi_{\psi,\varphi} \circ \Pi_{\varphi,\omega} = \Pi_{\psi,\omega}$ for any other ω on M, and $\Pi_{\psi\circ E_M, \varphi\circ E_M}|_{C_\varphi(M)} = \Pi_{\psi,\varphi}$ for any $M \subset N$ with expectation E_M. It preserves traces $\mathrm{Tr}_\psi \circ \Pi_{\psi,\varphi} = \mathrm{Tr}_\varphi$ [Ta01, Theorem XII.6.10(iv)]. So the pair $(C_\varphi(M), \mathrm{Tr}_\varphi)$ does not depend on the choice of φ, and we call Tr_φ the canonical trace. A von Neumann algebra is said to be a *type III_1 factor* if its continuous core is a II_∞ factor.

Let $B \subset M$ be any inclusion of von Neumann algebras. We write as $\widehat{B}^+$ the *extended positive cone* of B. We say that a map $T \colon M^+ \to \widehat{B}^+$ is an *operator valued weight* from M to B if it satisfies the following three conditions:

- $T(\lambda x) = \lambda T(x)$ for all $x \in M^+$ and $\lambda \geq 0$,
- $T(x+y) = T(x) + T(y)$ for all $x, y \in M^+$,
- $T(b^*xb) = b^*T(x)b$ for all $x \in M^+$ and $b \in B$.

For an operator valued weight $T : M^+ \to \widehat{B}^+$, put

$$\mathfrak{n}_T := \{x \in M \mid \|T(x^*x)\|_\infty < +\infty\},$$
$$\mathfrak{m}_T := (\mathfrak{n}_T)^*\mathfrak{n}_T = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^* y_i \mid n \geq 1, x_i, y_i \in \mathfrak{n}_T \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq n \right\}.$$

Then T has a unique extension $T \colon \mathfrak{m}_T \to B$ as a B-bimodule linear map. In this paper, all the operator valued weights that we consider are assumed to be *faithful, normal* and *semifinite*. Note that since the operator valued weight is nothing but a weight when $B = \mathbb{C}$, we may also extend a faithful normal semifinite weight φ on $\mathfrak{m}_\varphi$.

For any inclusion $B \subset M$ of von Neumann algebras with faithful normal weights φ_B and φ_M on B and M respectively, the modular actions of them satisfy $\sigma^{\varphi_M}|_B = \sigma^{\varphi_B}$ if and only if there is an operator valued weight E_B from M to B which satisfies $\varphi_B \circ E_B = \varphi_M$, and E_B determines uniquely by this equality [Ta01, Theorem IX.4.18]. We call E_B the *canonical operator valued weight from* (M, φ_M) *to* (B, φ_B). In this case, the cores has an inclusion $C_{\varphi_B}(B) \subset C_{\varphi_M}(M)$ since $\sigma^{\varphi_M}|_B = \sigma^{\varphi_B}$. When $\varphi_M|_B = \varphi_B$, E_B is a faithful normal conditional expectation [Ta01, Theorem IX.4.2].

Let M be a von Neumann algebra and φ a faithful normal semifinite weight on M. Put $L^2(M) := L^2(M,\varphi)$ and let α be an action of $\mathbb{R}$ on M. In this article, as a representation of $M \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{R}$, we use that for any $\xi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^2(M) \simeq L^2(\mathbb{R}, M)$ and $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$M \ni x \mapsto \pi_\alpha(x);\ (\pi_\alpha(x)\xi)(s) := \alpha_{-s}(x)\xi(s);$$

$$L\mathbb{R} \ni \lambda_t \mapsto 1_M \otimes \lambda_t;\ ((1 \otimes \lambda_t)\xi)(s) := \xi(s-t).$$

Let $C_c(\mathbb{R}, M)$ be the set of all $*$-strongly continuous functions from $\mathbb{R}$ to M with compact supports. Then there is an embedding

$$\widehat{\pi}_\alpha \colon C_c(\mathbb{R}, M) \ni f \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 \otimes \lambda_t)\pi_\alpha(f(t))dt \in M \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{R},$$

where the integral here should be understood as the map $T \in \mathbb{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^2(M))$ given by $\langle T\xi, \eta\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle (1 \otimes \lambda_t)\pi_\alpha(f(t))\xi, \eta\rangle dt$ for all $\xi, \eta \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^2(M)$. We note that by $(f * g)(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \alpha_s(f(t+s))g(-s)ds$ and $f^\sharp(t) := \alpha_t^{-1}(f(-t)^*)$ for $f, g \in C_c(\mathbb{R}, M)$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $C_c(\mathbb{R}, M)$ is a $*$-algebra, so that $\widehat{\pi}_\alpha$ is a $*$-homomorphism. For $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}, M)$ and $x \in M$, we denote by $(f \cdot x)(t) := f(t)x$ for $t \in G$. Let $C_c(\mathbb{R}, M)\mathfrak{n}_\varphi \subset C_c(\mathbb{R}, M)$ be the set of linear spans of $f \cdot x$ for $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}, M)$ and $x \in \mathfrak{n}_\varphi$. With these notation, the dual weight satisfies

$$\widehat{\varphi}(\widehat{\pi}_\alpha(g)^*\widehat{\pi}_\alpha(f)) = \varphi((g^\sharp * f)(0)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(g(t)^* f(t))dt \quad \text{for any } f, g \in C_c(\mathbb{R}, M)\mathfrak{n}_\varphi$$

[Ta01, Theorem X.1.17]. The modular objects of $\widehat{\varphi}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma_t^{\widehat{\varphi}}|_M = \sigma_t^\varphi \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_t^{\widehat{\varphi}}(\lambda_s) = \lambda_s[D(\varphi \circ \alpha_s), D\varphi]_t, \quad \text{for } s, t \in \mathbb{R}; \\ &(J_{\widehat{\varphi}}\xi)(t) = u^*(t)J_\varphi\xi(-t), \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \xi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, L^2(M)), \end{aligned}$$

where $u(t)$ is the unitary such that $\alpha_t = \operatorname{Ad} u(t)$ which preserves the standard structure of $L^2(M, \varphi)$. In particular $\sigma^{\widehat{\varphi}}$ globally preserves M and so there is a canonical operator valued weight E_M from $(M \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{R}, \widehat{\varphi})$ to (M, φ). By the equality $\varphi \circ E_M = \widehat{\varphi}$, it holds that for any $f, g \in C_c(\mathbb{R}, M)$,

$$E_M(\widehat{\pi}_\alpha(g)^*\widehat{\pi}_\alpha(f)) = (g^\sharp * f)(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t)^* f(t)dt.$$

Here we prove two lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. *Let (N, φ_N) and (B, φ_B) be von Neumann algebras with faithful normal semifinite weights with $\varphi_N(1) = 1$. Let α^B be an action of $\mathbb{R}$ on B, and put $M := N \overline{\otimes} B$, $\varphi := \varphi_N \otimes \varphi_B$, $\alpha := \sigma^{\varphi_N} \otimes \alpha^B$. Let E_M, E_B, $E_{B \rtimes \mathbb{R}}$ be the canonical operator valued weights from $(M \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{R}, \widehat{\varphi})$ to (M, φ), from $(M \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{R}, \widehat{\varphi})$ to (B, φ_B), and from $(M \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{R}, \widehat{\varphi})$ to $(B \rtimes_{\alpha^B} \mathbb{R}, \widehat{\varphi}_B)$ respectively. Then we have $E_{B \rtimes \mathbb{R}} \circ E_M = E_B$.*

Proof. Let P_N be the one dimensional projection from $L^2(N, \varphi_N)$ onto $\mathbb{C}\Lambda_{\varphi_N}(1_N)$ and observe that the compression map by $P_N \otimes 1_B \otimes 1_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$ on $N \overline{\otimes} B \overline{\otimes} \mathbb{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}))$ gives a normal conditional expectation $E \colon M \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{R} \to B \rtimes_{\alpha^B} \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $E((x \otimes b)\lambda_t) = \varphi_N(x)b\lambda_t$ for $x \in N$, $b \in B$, and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. It is faithful since so is on $N \overline{\otimes} B \overline{\otimes} \mathbb{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}))$. We first show $E = E_{B \rtimes \mathbb{R}}$.

By the equality $\widehat{\varphi} = \widehat{\varphi}_B \circ E_{B \rtimes \mathbb{R}}$, for $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}, M)$ and $g \in C_c(\mathbb{R}, B)\mathfrak{n}_{\varphi_B}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \widehat{\varphi}_B(\widehat{\pi}_\alpha(g)^* E_{B \rtimes \mathbb{R}}(\widehat{\pi}_\alpha(f^\sharp * f))\widehat{\pi}_\alpha(g)) \\ = \ & \widehat{\varphi}(\widehat{\pi}_\alpha(g)^*\widehat{\pi}_\alpha(f)^*\widehat{\pi}_\alpha(f)\widehat{\pi}_\alpha(g)) \\ = \ & \varphi_B \circ E_B^M((g^\sharp * f^\sharp * f * g)(0)) \qquad (\text{where } E_B^M := \varphi_N \otimes \mathrm{id}_B) \\ = \ & \varphi_B((g^\sharp * F * g)(0)) \qquad (\text{where } F(t) := E_B^M(f^\sharp * f)(t)) \\ = \ & \widehat{\varphi}_B(\widehat{\pi}_\alpha(g)^*\widehat{\pi}_\alpha(F * g)) \qquad (\text{since } F * g \in C_c(\mathbb{R}, B)\mathfrak{n}_{\varphi_B}) \\ = \ & \widehat{\varphi}_B(\widehat{\pi}_\alpha(g)^*\widehat{\pi}_\alpha(F)\widehat{\pi}_\alpha(g)). \end{aligned}$$

Since functionals $\widehat{\varphi}_B(\widehat{\pi}_\alpha(g)^* \cdot \widehat{\pi}_\alpha(g))$ for $g \in C_c(\mathbb{R}, B)\mathfrak{n}_{\varphi_B}$ are norm dense in $(B \rtimes \mathbb{R})_*^+$, we get $E_{B\rtimes_\alpha B}\mathbb{R}(\widehat{\pi}_\alpha(f^\sharp * f)) = \widehat{\pi}_\alpha(F)$. Then since for any $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}, M)$,

$$E(\widehat{\pi}_\alpha(f^\sharp * f)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} E((1 \otimes \lambda_t)\pi_\alpha((f^\sharp * f)(t)))dt = \widehat{\pi}_\alpha(F),$$

E and $E_{B\rtimes\mathbb{R}}$ coincide on a dense subalgebra. Since E is bounded, so is $E_{B\rtimes\mathbb{R}}$ and hence $E = E_{B\rtimes\mathbb{R}}$ on $M \rtimes \mathbb{R}$.

We observed that $E_{B\rtimes\mathbb{R}}$ is given by $E_{B\rtimes\mathbb{R}}((x\otimes b)\lambda_t) = \varphi_N(x)b\lambda_t$ for $x \in N$, $b \in B$, and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. In particular $E_{B\rtimes\mathbb{R}}|_M$ is the canonical conditional expectation E_B^M from (M, φ) to (B, φ_B). Then by definition, $\varphi_B \circ E_B^M \circ E_M = \varphi \circ E_M = \widehat{\varphi}$, and hence $E_B^M \circ E_M = E_B$. Since $E_B^M \circ E_M = E_{B\rtimes\mathbb{R}} \circ E_M$, we obtain the conclusion. □

We record the following well known fact as a second lemma. We include a proof for reader's convenience.

Lemma 2.2. *Let M be a type* III_1 *factor and N a von Neumann algebra. Then the center of the continuous core of $M \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} N$ coincides with the center of N.*

Proof. Since M is a type III_1 factor, there is a faithful normal semifinite weight φ_M on M such that $(M_{\varphi_M})' \cap M = \mathbb{C}$ [Ta01, Theorem XII.1.7], where M_{φ_M} is the fixed point algebra of the modular action of φ_M. Let φ_N be a faithful normal semifinite weight on N and put $\varphi := \varphi_M \otimes \varphi_N$. Observe that the center of $C_\varphi(M \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} N)$ is contained in

$$(M_{\varphi_M} \otimes \mathbb{C}1_{L^2(N)\otimes L^2(\mathbb{R})})' \cap M \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} N \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} \mathbb{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R})) = \mathbb{C}1_{L^2(M,\varphi_M)} \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} N \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} \mathbb{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R})).$$

On the other hand, since $\mathcal{Z}(C_\varphi(M \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} N))$ commutes with $L\mathbb{R}$, it is contained in $(M \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} N)_\varphi \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} L\mathbb{R}$ (e.g. [HR10, Proposition 2.4]). Hence

$$\mathcal{Z}(C_\varphi(M \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} N)) \subset \mathbb{C} \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} N \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} \mathbb{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R})) \cap (M \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} N)_\varphi \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} L\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{C} \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} N_{\varphi_N} \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} L\mathbb{R}.$$

Finally since $\mathcal{Z}(C_\varphi(M \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} N))$ commutes with M, and N_{φ_N} commutes with M and $L\mathbb{R}$, (up to exchanging positions of M and N,) we have

$$\mathcal{Z}(C_\varphi(N \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} M)) \subset M' \cap N_{\varphi_N} \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} \mathbb{C} \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} L\mathbb{R} = N_{\varphi_N} \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} (M' \cap \mathbb{C} \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} L\mathbb{R}) = N_{\varphi_N} \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} \mathbb{C}1,$$

where we used $M' \cap \mathbb{C} \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} L\mathbb{R} \subset \mathcal{Z}(C_{\varphi_M}(M)) = \mathbb{C}$. Since $N' \cap N_{\varphi_N} = \mathcal{Z}(N)$, we conclude that $\mathcal{Z}(C_\varphi(M \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} N)) = \mathcal{Z}(N)$. Since all continuous cores are isomorphic with each other preserving the position of $M \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} N$, for any other faithful normal semifinite weight ψ, we obtain $\mathcal{Z}(C_\psi(M \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} N)) = \mathcal{Z}(N)$. □

2.2 Relative tensor products, basic constructions and weak containments

Let M and N be von Neumann algebras and H a Hilbert space. Throughout this paper, we denote *opposite* objects with circle (e.g. $N^\circ := N^{\mathrm{op}}$, $x^\circ := x^{\mathrm{op}} \in N^\circ$, $(xy)^\circ = y^\circ x^\circ$ for $x, y \in N$). We say that H is a *left M-module* (resp. a *right N-module*) if there is a normal unital injective $*$-homomorphism $\pi_H \colon M \to \mathbb{B}(H)$ (resp. $\theta_H \colon N^\circ \to \mathbb{B}(H)$). We say H is an *M-N-bimodule* if H is a left M-module and a right N-module with commuting ranges. The *standard bimodule* of M is a standard representation $L^2(M)$ as an M-bimodule, where the right action is given by $M^\circ \ni x^\circ \mapsto Jx^*J \in M' \subset \mathbb{B}(L^2(M))$.

Let N be a von Neumann algebra, φ a faithful normal semifinite weight, and $H = H_N$ a right N-module with the right action θ. A vector $\xi \in H$ is said to be *left φ-bounded* if there is a constant $C > 0$ such that $\|\theta(x^\circ)\xi\| \leq C\|J_\varphi \Lambda_\varphi(x^*)\|$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{n}_\varphi^*$. We denote

by $D(H,\varphi)$ all left φ-bounded vectors in H. It is known that the subspace $D(H,\varphi)\subset H$ is always dense [Ta01, Lemma IX.3.3(iii)]. For $\xi\in D(H,\varphi)$, define a bounded operator

$$L_\xi\colon L^2(N,\varphi)\to H;\ L_\xi J_\varphi\Lambda_\varphi(a^*)=\theta(a^\circ)\xi.$$

It is easy to verify that

- $\theta(x^\circ)L_\xi = L_\xi J_\varphi x^* J_\varphi \quad (x\in N)$;
- $L_\xi L_\eta^*\in\theta(N^\circ)'$ and $L_\eta^* L_\xi\in(J_\varphi N J_\varphi)'=N \quad (\xi,\eta\in D(H,\varphi))$;
- $xL_\xi y = L_{x\theta(\sigma^\varphi_{i/2}(y)^\circ)\xi} \quad (x\in\theta(N^\circ)', y\in N_a)$,

where $N_a\subset N$ is the subalgebra consisting of all *analytic* elements with respect to (σ_t^φ) (see [Ta01, Lemma IX.3.3(v)] for the third statement). For a left N-module $K={}_NK$, the *relative tensor product* $H\otimes_N K$ is defined as the Hilbert space obtained by separation and compression of $D(H,\varphi)\otimes_{\rm alg}K$ with an inner product $\langle\xi_1\otimes_N\eta_1,\xi_2\otimes_N\eta_2\rangle := \langle L^*_{\xi_2}L_{\xi_1}\eta_1,\eta_2\rangle_K$. When $H={}_MH_N$ is an M-N-bimodule and $K={}_NK_A$ is an N-A-bimodule for von Neumann algebras M and A, the Hilbert space $H\otimes_N K$ is an M-A-bimodule by $\pi(x)\theta(a^\circ)(\xi\otimes_N\eta):=(\pi_H(x)\xi)\otimes_B(\theta_K(a^\circ)\eta)$ for $x\in M$, $a\in A$, $\xi\in D(H,\varphi)$ and $\eta\in K$.

Since any standard representation $L^2(M)$ of M is isomorphic with each other as M-bimodules, when we consider $H=K=L^2(M)$ and $N\subset M$, the Hilbert space $L^2(M)\otimes_N L^2(M)$ is determined canonically, and does not depend on the choice of a faithful normal semifinite weight φ on M with $L^2(M)=L^2(M,\varphi)$.

Let $B\subset M$ be an inclusion of von Neumann algebras and φ a faithful normal semifinite weight on M. The *basic construction* of the inclusion $B\subset M$ is defined by

$$\langle M,B\rangle := (J_\varphi B J_\varphi)'\cap\mathbb{B}(L^2(M,\varphi)).$$

Since all standard representations are canonically isomorphic, the basic construction does not depend on the choice of φ. Assume that the inclusion $B\subset M$ is with an operator valued weight E_B. Fix a faithful normal semifinite weight φ_B on B and put $\varphi:=\varphi_B\circ E_B$. Here we observe that any $x\in\mathfrak{n}_{E_B}\cap\mathfrak{n}_\varphi$ is left φ-bounded and $L_{\Lambda_\varphi(x)}\Lambda_{\varphi_B}(a)=\Lambda_\varphi(xa)$ for $a\in\mathfrak{n}_{\varphi_B}$. Indeed, for any analytic $a\in\mathfrak{n}_{\varphi_B}\cap\mathfrak{n}^*_{\varphi_B}$, we have $J_{\varphi_B}\Lambda_{\varphi_B}(a^*)=\Delta_{\varphi_B}^{1/2}\Lambda_{\varphi_B}(a)=\Lambda_{\varphi_B}(\sigma^{\varphi_B}_{-i/2}(a))$ (e.g. the equation just before [Ta01, Lemma VIII.2.4]), and hence by [Ta01, Lemma V.III.3.18(ii)],

$$L_{\Lambda_\varphi(x)}\Lambda_{\varphi_B}(\sigma^{\varphi_B}_{-\frac{i}{2}}(a))=L_{\Lambda_\varphi(x)}J_{\varphi_B}\Lambda_{\varphi_B}(a^*)=J_\varphi a^* J_\varphi\Lambda_\varphi(x)=\Lambda_\varphi(x\sigma^\varphi_{-\frac{i}{2}}(a)).$$

Since $\sigma^{\varphi_B}_{-i/2}(a)=\sigma^\varphi_{-i/2}(a)$ (because $\sigma^\varphi_t|_B=\sigma^{\varphi_B}_t$ for $t\in\mathbb{R}$, and analytic extensions are unique if exists), this means that $L_{\Lambda_\varphi(x)}\Lambda_{\varphi_B}(b)=\Lambda_\varphi(xb)$ for any analytic $b\in\mathfrak{n}_{\varphi_B}\cap\mathfrak{n}^*_{\varphi_B}$. At the same time, we can define a bounded operator $L_x\colon\Lambda_{\varphi_B}(a)\mapsto\Lambda_\varphi(xa)$ for $a\in\mathfrak{n}_{\varphi_B}$ (use $x\in\mathfrak{n}_{E_B}$). So the map $L_{\Lambda_\varphi(x)}$ has a bounded extension on $L^2(B,\varphi_B)$ and coincides with L_x, as desired. Now it is easy to verify that

$$L^*_{\Lambda_\varphi(y)}L_{\Lambda_\varphi(x)}=E_B(y^*x)\in(J_\varphi B J_\varphi)'=B\subset\mathbb{B}(L^2(B,\varphi_B))\qquad(x,y\in\mathfrak{n}_{E_B}\cap\mathfrak{n}_\varphi).$$

We will use this formula for calculations in the proposition below and in Section 3.

Let M and N be von Neumann algebras and H and K be M-N-bimodules. We write as π_H and θ_H (resp. π_K and θ_K) left and right actions on H (resp. K). We say that K

is weakly contained in H, denoted by $K \prec H$, if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, finite subsets $\mathcal{E} \subset M$ and $\mathcal{F} \subset N$, and any vector $\xi \in K$, there are vectors $(\eta_i)_{i=1}^n \subset H$ such that

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \pi_H(x)\theta_H(y^\circ)\eta_i, \eta_i\rangle_H - \langle \pi_K(x)\theta_K(y^\circ)\xi, \xi\rangle_K \right| < \varepsilon \quad (x \in \mathcal{E},\ y \in \mathcal{F}).$$

This is equivalent to saying that the algebraic $*$-homomorphism given by $\pi_H(x)\theta_H(y^\circ) \mapsto \pi_K(x)\theta_K(y^\circ)$ for $x \in M$ and $y \in N$ is bounded on $*$-alg$\{\pi_H(M), \theta_H(N^\circ)\}$. We write as $\nu_{K,H}$ the associated $*$-homomorphism for $K \prec H$.

Here we observe that a relative tensor product has a useful identification. We will use this proposition in Sections 3 and 4.

Proposition 2.3. *Let N and B be von Neumann algebras, and α^N and α^B actions of $\mathbb{R}$ on N and B respectively. Put $M := N \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} B$ and $\alpha := \alpha^N \otimes \alpha^B$, and define $H := L^2(M \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{R}) \otimes_B L^2(M \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{R})$ as an $M \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{R}$-bimodule with left and right actions π_H and θ_H.*

Then there is a unitary $U\colon H \to L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^2(N) \otimes L^2(B) \otimes L^2(N) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that, putting $\widetilde{\pi}_H := \mathrm{Ad}\, U \circ \pi_H$ and $\widetilde{\theta}_H := \mathrm{Ad}\, U \circ \theta_H$,

- $\widetilde{\pi}_H(M \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{R}) \subset \mathbb{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^2(N) \otimes L^2(B)) \otimes \mathbb{C}1_N \otimes \mathbb{C}1_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$:
  $\widetilde{\pi}_H(\lambda_t) = \lambda_t \otimes 1_N \otimes 1_B$ *and* $\widetilde{\pi}_H(x) = \pi_\alpha(x)$ $(t \in \mathbb{R},\ x \in N \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} B = M)$;
- $\widetilde{\theta}_H((M \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{R})^\circ) \subset \mathbb{C}1_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \otimes \mathbb{C}1_N \otimes \mathbb{B}(L^2(B) \otimes L^2(N) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R}))$:
  $\widetilde{\theta}_H(\lambda_t^\circ) = 1_B \otimes 1_N \otimes \rho_t$ *and* $\widetilde{\theta}_H(y^\circ) = \theta_\alpha(y^\circ)$ $(t \in \mathbb{R},\ y \in B \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} N \simeq M)$,
  where $(\theta_\alpha(y^\circ)\xi)(s) := \alpha_s(y)^\circ \xi(s)$ *for* $\xi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, L^2(B) \otimes L^2(N))$ *and* $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. We fix a faithful normal semifinite weight φ_B on B and put $\varphi := \varphi_N \otimes \varphi_B$. Write as $\widehat{\varphi}$ the dual weight of φ and then the standard representation of $M \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$L^2(M \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{R}, \widehat{\varphi}) = L^2(N, \varphi_N) \otimes L^2(B, \varphi_B) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R}) \simeq L^2(\mathbb{R}, L^2(N, \varphi_N) \otimes L^2(B, \varphi_B)).$$

For simplicity we put $L^2(N) := L^2(N, \varphi_N)$ and $L^2(B) := L^2(B, \varphi_B)$. Let E_B be the canonical operator valued weight from $\widetilde{M}$ to B given by $\widehat{\varphi} = \varphi_B \circ E_B$. Then for $E_B^M := \varphi_N \otimes \mathrm{id}_B$ on M and for the canonical operator valued weight E_M from $(M \rtimes \mathbb{R}, \widehat{\varphi})$ to (M, φ), we have $\widehat{\varphi} = \varphi \circ E_M = \varphi_B \circ E_B^M \circ E_M$, and hence $E_B = E_B^M \circ E_M$ by the uniqueness condition. Observe then for any $f, g \in C_c(\mathbb{R}, M)$,

$$E_B(\widehat{\pi}_\alpha(g)^* \widehat{\pi}_\alpha(f)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} E_B^M(g(t)^* f(t))dt.$$

Define a well-defined linear map

$$V\colon \Lambda_\varphi(\mathfrak{n}_{\varphi_N} \otimes_{\mathrm{alg}} \mathfrak{n}_{\varphi_B}) \otimes_{\mathrm{alg}} J_\varphi \Lambda_\varphi(\mathfrak{n}_{\varphi_B} \otimes_{\mathrm{alg}} \mathfrak{n}_{\varphi_N}) \to L^2(N) \otimes L^2(B) \otimes L^2(N)$$

by $V(\Lambda_\varphi(x \otimes a) \otimes J_\varphi \Lambda_\varphi(b \otimes y)) := \Lambda_{\varphi_N}(x) \otimes a J_{\varphi_B} \Lambda_{\varphi_B}(b) \otimes J_{\varphi_N} \Lambda_{\varphi_N}(y)$. We then define a linear map

$$U\colon L^2(\mathbb{R}, L^2(N) \otimes L^2(B)) \otimes_B L^2(\mathbb{R}, L^2(B) \otimes L^2(N)) \to L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, L^2(N) \otimes L^2(B) \otimes L^2(N))$$

by $(U(f \otimes_B J_{\widehat{\varphi}} g))(t, s) := V(\Lambda_\varphi(f(t)) \otimes J_\varphi \Lambda_\varphi(g(-s)))$ for $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}, N \otimes_{\mathrm{alg}} B)(\mathfrak{n}_{\varphi_N} \otimes_{\mathrm{alg}} \mathfrak{n}_{\varphi_B})$ and $g \in C_c(\mathbb{R}, B \otimes_{\mathrm{alg}} N)(\mathfrak{n}_{\varphi_B} \otimes_{\mathrm{alg}} \mathfrak{n}_{\varphi_N})$. (Note that we are identifying $\Lambda_{\widehat{\varphi}}(\widehat{\pi}_\alpha(f))$ and $\Lambda_{\widehat{\varphi}}(\widehat{\pi}_\alpha(g))$ as f and g.) We have to show that it is a well-defined unitary map. For

$f_i \in C_c(\mathbb{R}, N \otimes_{\rm alg} B)(\mathfrak{n}_{\varphi_N} \otimes_{\rm alg} \mathfrak{n}_{\varphi_B})$ and $g_i \in C_c(\mathbb{R}, B \otimes_{\rm alg} N)(\mathfrak{n}_{\varphi_B} \otimes_{\rm alg} \mathfrak{n}_{\varphi_N})$, we have on the one hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\sum_i f_i \otimes_B J_{\widehat{\varphi}} g_i\|_2^2 &= \sum_{i,j} \langle L_{f_j}^* L_{f_i} J_{\widehat{\varphi}} g_i, J_{\widehat{\varphi}} g_j \rangle \\
&= \sum_{i,j} \langle E_B(\widehat{\pi}_\alpha(f_j)^* \widehat{\pi}_\alpha(f_i)) J_{\widehat{\varphi}} g_i, J_{\widehat{\varphi}} g_j \rangle \\
&= \sum_{i,j} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle \pi_\alpha(F_{j,i})\, J_{\widehat{\varphi}} g_i, J_{\widehat{\varphi}} g_j \rangle dt \qquad (\text{where } F_{j,i} := E_B^M(f_j(t)^* f_i(t))) \\
&= \sum_{i,j} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle (\pi_\alpha(F_{j,i})\, J_{\widehat{\varphi}} g_i)(s), (J_{\widehat{\varphi}} g_j)(s) \rangle ds dt \\
&= \sum_{i,j} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle \alpha_s^{-1}(F_{j,i}) u(s)^* J_\varphi \Lambda_\varphi(g_i(-s)), u(s)^* J_\varphi \Lambda_\varphi(g_j(-s)) \rangle ds dt \\
&= \sum_{i,j} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle F_{j,i} J_\varphi \Lambda_\varphi(g_i(-s)), J_\varphi \Lambda_\varphi(g_j(-s)) \rangle ds dt,
\end{aligned}$$

and on the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|U \sum_i (f_i \otimes_B J_{\widehat{\varphi}} g_i)\|_2^2 \\
= &\sum_{i,j} \int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}} \langle V(\Lambda_\varphi(f_i(t)) \otimes J_\varphi \Lambda_\varphi(g_i(-s))), V(\Lambda_\varphi(f_j(t)) \otimes J_\varphi \Lambda_\varphi(g_i(-s))) \rangle dt ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence if we show

$$\langle V(\Lambda_\varphi(x) \otimes J_\varphi \Lambda_\varphi(a)), V(\Lambda_\varphi(y) \otimes J_\varphi \Lambda_\varphi(b)) \rangle = \langle E_B^M(y^* x) J_\varphi \Lambda_\varphi(a), J_\varphi \Lambda_\varphi(b) \rangle$$

for any $x, y \in \mathfrak{n}_{\varphi_N} \otimes_{\rm alg} \mathfrak{n}_{\varphi_B}$ and $a, b \in \mathfrak{n}_{\varphi_B} \otimes_{\rm alg} \mathfrak{n}_{\varphi_N}$, then U is a well-defined unitary map. However this equation follows easily if we put elementary elements.

Finally $L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}, L^2(N) \otimes L^2(B) \otimes L^2(N))$ is canonically isomorphic to $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^2(N) \otimes L^2(B) \otimes L^2(N) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R})$, where the first (resp. the second) variable in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ corresponds to $L\mathbb{R}$ of the left one (resp. the right one) in the Hilbert space. It is then easy to see that $\widetilde{\pi}_H$ and $\widetilde{\theta}_H$ satisfy the desired condition. □

2.3 Free quantum groups and bi-exactness

For compact quantum groups, we refer the reader to [Wo95, MV98].

Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a compact quantum group. In this paper, we use the following notation, which will only be used in Section 4. We denote the Haar state by h, the set of equivalence classes of all irreducible unitary corepresentations by $\mathrm{Irred}(\mathbb{G})$, and right and left regular representations by ρ and λ respectively. We regard $C_{\rm red}(\mathbb{G}) := \rho(C(\mathbb{G}))$ as our main object and we frequently omit ρ when we see the dense Hopf $*$-algebra. The GNS representation of h is written as $L^2(\mathbb{G})$ and it has a decomposition $L^2(\mathbb{G}) = \sum_{x \in \mathrm{Irred}(\mathbb{G})} \oplus (H_x \otimes H_{\bar{x}})$. Along the decomposition, the modular operator of h is of the form $\Delta_h^{it} = \sum_{x \in \mathrm{Irred}(\mathbb{G})} \oplus (Q_x^{it} \otimes Q_{\bar{x}}^{-it})$ for some positive matrices Q_x.

Let F be a matrix in $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$. The *free unitary quantum group* (resp. *free orthogonal quantum group*) for F [Wa94, VW95] is the C*-algebra $C(A_u(F))$ (resp. $C(A_o(F))$) defined as the universal unital C*-algebra generated by all the entries of a unitary n by n matrix

$u = (u_{i,j})_{i,j}$ satisfying that $F(u_{i,j}^*)_{i,j}F^{-1}$ is a unitary (resp. $F(u_{i,j}^*)_{i,j}F^{-1} = u$). We simply say that $\mathbb{G}$ is a *free quantum group* if $\mathbb{G}$ is a free unitary or orthogonal quantum group.

Here we recall the notion of bi-exactness introduced in [Is13, Definition 3.1], based on the group case [BO08, Lemma 15.1.2].

Definition 2.4. Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a compact quantum group. We say that the dual $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is *bi-exact* if it satisfies following conditions:

(i) $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is exact (i.e. $C_{\mathrm{red}}(\mathbb{G})$ is exact);

(ii) there exists a u.c.p. map $\Theta\colon C_{\mathrm{red}}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes_{\min} C_{\mathrm{red}}(\mathbb{G})^\circ \to \mathbb{B}(L^2(\mathbb{G}))$ such that

$$\Theta(a \otimes b^\circ) - ab^\circ \in \mathbb{K}(L^2(\mathbb{G})), \quad \text{for any } a, b \in C_{\mathrm{red}}(\mathbb{G}).$$

Bi-exactness of free quantum groups were proved in [Ve04, VV05, VV08]. See [Is13, Theorem C] for other examples of bi-exact quantum groups.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a free quantum group (more generally, a compact quantum group in [Is13, Theorem C]). Then the dual $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is bi-exact.*

2.4 Central weak amenability and the W*CMAP

Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a compact quantum group. Denote the dense Hopf $*$-algebra by $\mathscr{C}(\mathbb{G})$. For any element $a \in \ell^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$, we can associate a linear map m_a on $\mathscr{C}(\mathbb{G})$, given by $(m_a \otimes \iota)(u^x) = (1 \otimes ap_x)u^x$ for any $x \in \mathrm{Irred}(\mathbb{G})$, where $p_x \in c_0(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ is the canonical projection onto x component. We say $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is *weakly amenable (with Cowling–Haagerup constant 1)* if there exist a net $(a_i)_i$ of elements of $\ell^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ such that

- each a_i has finite support, namely, $a_i p_x = 0$ except for finitely many $x \in \mathrm{Irred}(\mathbb{G})$;
- $(a_i)_i$ converges to 1 pointwise, namely, $a_i p_x$ converges to p_x in $\mathbb{B}(H_x)$ for any $x \in \mathrm{Irred}(\mathbb{G})$;
- each m_{a_i} is extended on $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ as a completely contractive (say c.c.) map.

Note that, since a_i is finitely supported, each m_{a_i} is actually a map from $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ to $\mathscr{C}(\mathbb{G})$. We say $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is *centrally weakly amenable* if each $a_i p_x$ above is taken as a scalar matrix for all i and $x \in \mathrm{Irred}(\mathbb{G})$. In this case, the associated multiplier m_{a_i} commutes with the modular action of the Haar state. This commutativity is important to us since such multipliers can be extended naturally on the continuous core with respect to the Haar state. Indeed, the maps $m_{a_i} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$ on $L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} \mathbb{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}))$ restrict to approximation maps on the core. With this phenomenon in our mind, we introduce the following terminology.

Definition 2.6. Let M be a von Neumann algebra and φ a fixed faithful normal state on M. We say that M has the *weak* completely metric approximation property with respect to φ* (or *φ-W*CMAP*, in short) if there exists a net $(\psi_i)_i$ of normal c.c. maps on M such that

- each ψ_i commutes with σ^φ, that is, $\psi_i \circ \sigma_t^\varphi = \sigma_t^\varphi \circ \psi_i$ for all i and $t \in \mathbb{R}$;
- each ψ_i is a finite sum of $\varphi(b^* \cdot a)z$ for some $a, b, z \in M$;
- ψ_i converges to id_M in the point σ-weak topology.

It is easy to see that the central weak amenability of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ implies the W*CMAP with respect to the Haar state.

Weak amenability of the free quantum group was first obtained in [Fr12], using the Haagerup property [Br11]. This is for the Kac type and hence is equivalent to the central weak amenability. The general case was solved later in [DFY13] and its proof in fact shows the central weak amenability as follows.

Theorem 2.7. *Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a free quantum group (more generally a quantum group in [Is13, Theorem C]). Then the dual $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is centrally weakly amenable.*

In particular there is a net $(\psi_i)_i$ of normal c.c. maps on $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$, witnessing the W*CMAP with respect to the Haar state, such that $\psi_i(L^\infty(\mathbb{G})) \subset \mathscr{C}(\mathbb{G})$ for all i.

2.5 Popa's intertwining techniques

In [Po01, Po03], Popa introduced a powerful tool called *intertwining techniques*, which is one of the main ingredient in the recent development of the von Neumann algebra theory. The version we introduce here is the one defined in [HI15, Definition 4.1] which treats general von Neumann algebras (possibly of type III).

Definition 2.8. Let M be any σ-finite von Neumann algebra, 1_A and 1_B any nonzero projections in M, $A \subset 1_A M 1_A$ and $B \subset 1_B M 1_B$ any von Neumann subalgebras with expectation. We say that A *embeds with expectation into B inside M* and write $A \preceq_M B$ if there exist projections $e \in A$ and $f \in B$, a nonzero partial isometry $v \in eMf$ and a unital normal $*$-homomorphism $\theta : eAe \to fBf$ such that the inclusion $\theta(eAe) \subset fBf$ is with expectation and $av = v\theta(a)$ for all $a \in eAe$.

The following theorem is the fundamental characterization of $A \preceq_M B$ established in [Po01, Po03]. The version here is a generalized one proved in [HI15, Theorem 4.3].

Theorem 2.9. *Keep the same notation as in Definition 2.8 and assume that A is finite. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

(1) *We have $A \preceq_M B$.*

(2) *There exists no net $(w_i)_{i\in I}$ of unitaries in $\mathcal{U}(A)$ such that $E_B(b^* w_i a) \to 0$ in the σ-$*$-strong topology for all $a, b \in 1_A M 1_B$, where E_B is a fixed faithful normal conditional expectation from $1_B M 1_B$ onto B.*

For the notion $A \preceq_M B$, we prove a lemma, which will be used to show Corollary B. In the proof, we make use of the ultraproduct von Neumann algebras [Oc85]. We will actually use a more general one used in [HI15], which treats a general directed set instead of $\mathbb{N}$. Recall from [HI15, Section 2] that for any σ-finite von Neumann algebra M and any free ultrafilter $\mathcal{U}$ on a directed set I, we may define the *ultraproduct von Neumann algebra* $M^{\mathcal{U}}$, using $\ell^\infty(I) \overline{\otimes} M$. In the proof below, we only need the following elementary properties: with the standard notation $(x_i)_{\mathcal{U}} \in M^{\mathcal{U}}$ for $(x_i)_{i\in I}$,

- $M \subset M^{\mathcal{U}}$ is with expectation by $E_{\mathcal{U}}((x_i)_{\mathcal{U}}) := \lim_{i\to\mathcal{U}} x_i$;
- for any σ-finite von Neumann algebras $A \subset M$ with expectation E_A, $A^{\mathcal{U}} \subset M^{\mathcal{U}}$ is with expectation defined by $E_{A^{\mathcal{U}}}((x_i)_{\mathcal{U}}) := (E_A(x_i))_{\mathcal{U}}$;
- if the subalgebra A is finite, then any norm bounded net $(a_i)_{i\in I}$ determines an element $(a_i)_{\mathcal{U}}$ in $M^{\mathcal{U}}$.

Lemma 2.10. *Let (B,φ_B) and (N,φ_N) be von Neumann algebras with faithful normal states. Put $M := B\mathbin{\overline{\otimes}}N$, $\varphi := \varphi_B\otimes\varphi_N$, $E_B = \mathrm{id}_B\otimes\varphi_N$ and $E_N = \varphi_B\otimes\mathrm{id}_N$. Let $p\in M$ be a projection and $A\subset pMp$ a von Neumann subalgebra with expectation. Fix $a := (a_i)_{i\in I}\in \ell^\infty(I)\mathbin{\overline{\otimes}}A$ and a free ultrafilter $\mathcal{U}$ on I such that $(a_i)_{\mathcal{U}}\in A^{\mathcal{U}}$. Then $E_{B^{\mathcal{U}}}(y^*ax)=0$ for all $x,y\in M$ if and only if $E_N\circ E_{\mathcal{U}}(c^*ab)$ for all $b,c\in B^{\mathcal{U}}$.*

In particular, if A is finite, then $A\preceq_M B$ if and only if $A\preceq_{B\overline{\otimes}N_0}B$ for any $N_0\subset N$ with expectation E_{N_0} such that $\varphi_N\circ E_{N_0}=\varphi_N$, $p\in B\mathbin{\overline{\otimes}}N_0$ and $A\subset p(B\mathbin{\overline{\otimes}}N_0)p$.

Proof. Observe first that $E_{B^{\mathcal{U}}}(y^*ax)=0$ for all $x,y\in M$ if and only if $E_{B^{\mathcal{U}}}((1\otimes y^*)a(1\otimes x))=0$ for all $x,y\in N$, which is equivalent to

$$\langle E_{B^{\mathcal{U}}}((1\otimes y^*)a(1\otimes x))\Lambda_{\varphi_B^{\mathcal{U}}}(b),\Lambda_{\varphi_B^{\mathcal{U}}}(c)\rangle_{\varphi_B^{\mathcal{U}}}=0$$

for all $x,y\in N$ and $b,c\in B^{\mathcal{U}}$. Writing as $b=(b_i)_{\mathcal{U}}$ and $c=(c_i)_{\mathcal{U}}$, calculate that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle E_{B^{\mathcal{U}}}((1\otimes y^*)a(1\otimes x))\Lambda_{\varphi_B^{\mathcal{U}}}(b),\Lambda_{\varphi_B^{\mathcal{U}}}(c)\rangle_{\varphi_B^{\mathcal{U}}}\\
=\;&\lim_{i\to\mathcal{U}}\langle E_B((1\otimes y^*)a_i(1\otimes x))\Lambda_{\varphi_B}(b_i),\Lambda_{\varphi_B}(c_i)\rangle_{\varphi_B}\\
=\;&\lim_{i\to\mathcal{U}}\varphi_B(c_i^*E_B((1\otimes y^*)a_i(1\otimes x))b_i)\\
=\;&\lim_{i\to\mathcal{U}}\varphi_B\circ E_B((c_i^*\otimes y^*)a_i(b_i\otimes x))\\
=\;&\lim_{i\to\mathcal{U}}\varphi_N\circ E_N((c_i^*\otimes y^*)a_i(b_i\otimes x))\\
=\;&\lim_{i\to\mathcal{U}}\varphi_N(y^*E_N((c_i^*\otimes 1)a_i(b_i\otimes 1))x)\\
=\;&\varphi_N(y^*E_N(\lim_{i\to\mathcal{U}}((c_i^*\otimes 1)a_i(b_i\otimes 1)))x)\\
=\;&\varphi_N(y^*E_N\circ E_{\mathcal{U}}((c^*\otimes 1)a(b\otimes 1))x).
\end{aligned}$$

Then since functionals of the form $\varphi_N(y^*\cdot x)$ for $x,y\in N$ are norm dense in N_*, the final term above is zero for all $x,y\in N$ if and only if $E_N\circ E_{\mathcal{U}}((c^*\otimes 1)a(b\otimes 1))=0$. Thus we proved that $E_{B^{\mathcal{U}}}(y^*ax)=0$ for all $x,y\in M$ if and only if $E_N\circ E_{\mathcal{U}}((c^*\otimes 1)a(b\otimes 1))=0$ for all $b,c\in B^{\mathcal{U}}$.

For the second half of the statement, suppose that A is finite and $A\not\preceq_{B\overline{\otimes}N_0}B$. We will show $A\not\preceq_M B$. Since A is finite, there is a net $(u_i)_{i\in I}\subset\mathcal{U}(A)$ for a directed set I such that $E_B(y^*u_ix)\to 0$ strongly as $i\to\infty$ for all $x,y\in B\mathbin{\overline{\otimes}}N_0$. Fix any co-finial ultrafilter $\mathcal{U}$ on I. Since A is finite, $u:=(u_i)_{\mathcal{U}}\in A^{\mathcal{U}}$ and hence $E_{B^{\mathcal{U}}}(y^*ux)=0$ for all $x,y\in B\mathbin{\overline{\otimes}}N_0$. By the first half of the statement, this is equivalent to $E_{N_0}\circ E_{\mathcal{U}}(c^*ub)=0$ for all $b,c\in B^{\mathcal{U}}$. Then since $E_{\mathcal{U}}(c^*ub)$ is contained in $B\overline{\otimes}N_0$ and since $E_N|_{B\overline{\otimes}N_0}=(\varphi_B\otimes\mathrm{id}_N)|_{B\overline{\otimes}N_0}=E_{N_0}$, we have $E_N\circ E_{\mathcal{U}}(c^*ub)=0$ for all $b,c\in B^{\mathcal{U}}$, which is in turn equivalent to $E_{B^{\mathcal{U}}}(y^*ux)=0$ for $x,y\in M$ by the first half of the statement. Since this holds for arbitrary $\mathcal{U}$ on I, we conclude that $E_B(y^*u_ix)\to 0$ $*$-strongly as $i\to\infty$ for all $x,y\in M$. Thus we proved that $A\not\preceq_{B\overline{\otimes}N_0}B$ implies $A\not\preceq_M B$. □

3 Weakly compact actions

In this section, we prove that if a von Neumann algebra N has the W*CMAP with respect to a fixed state, then the core of the tensor product $N\mathbin{\overline{\otimes}}B$ with a type III_1 factor B satisfies a weakly compact action in an appropriate sense. This is in fact the main observation of this article, and the key item is Lemma 3.5.

3.1 Definition

We first introduce a terminology. The following notion is an appropriate generalization of [OP07, Definition 3.1] in our setting, inspired from [PV11, Theorem 5.1]. Indeed, if we

take $\mathcal{M} = M \overline{\otimes} M^\circ$ in the definition below, this coincides with the usual weakly compact action.

Definition 3.1. Let M be a semifinite von Neumann algebra with trace Tr, and let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra which contains M and M° as von Neumann subalgebras, which we denote by $\pi(M)$ and $\theta(M^\circ)$, such that $[\pi(M), \theta(M^\circ)] = 0$.

Let $p \in M$ be a projection with $\mathrm{Tr}(p) = 1$, $A \subset pMp$ be a von Neumann subalgebra, $\mathcal{G} \leq \mathcal{N}_{pMp}(A)$ a subgroup. We say that the adjoint action of $\mathcal{G}$ on A is *weakly compact for* $(M, \mathrm{Tr}, \pi, \theta, \mathcal{M})$ if there is a net $(\xi_i)_i$ of unit vectors in the positive cone of $L^2(\mathcal{M})$ such that

(i) $\langle \pi(x)\xi_i, \xi_i \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{M})} \to \mathrm{Tr}(pxp)$, for any $x \in M$;

(ii) $\|\pi(a)\theta(\bar{a})\xi_i - \xi_i\|_{L^2(\mathcal{M})} \to 0$, for any $a \in \mathcal{U}(A)$;

(iii) $\|\pi(u)\theta(\bar{u})\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{M}}\pi(u)\theta(\bar{u})\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{M}}\xi_i - \xi_i\|_{L^2(\mathcal{M})} \to 0$, for any $u \in \mathcal{G}$.

Here $\bar{a}$ means $(a^\circ)^*$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is the modular conjugation for $L^2(\mathcal{M})$.

Remark 3.2. In this definition, since $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{M}}\xi_i = \xi_i$ for all i, condition (ii) for $a \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ implies condition (iii) for $a \in \mathcal{U}(A)$. Hence up to replacing $\mathcal{G}$ with the group generated by $\mathcal{U}(A)$ and $\mathcal{G}$, we may always assume that $\mathcal{G}$ contains $\mathcal{U}(A)$.

Below we record a characterization for weakly compact actions.

Proposition 3.3. *Keep the notation in Definition 3.1. The following conditions are equivalent.*

(1) *The group $\mathcal{G}$ acts on A as a weakly compact action for $(M, \mathrm{Tr}, \pi, \theta, \mathcal{M})$.*

(2) *There exists a net $(\omega_i)_i$ of normal states on $\mathcal{M}$ such that*

(i) $\omega_i(\pi(x)) \to \mathrm{Tr}(pxp)$, *for any $x \in pMp$;*

(ii) $\omega_i(\pi(a)\theta(\bar{a})) \to 1$, *for any $a \in \mathcal{U}(A)$;*

(iii) $\|\omega_i \circ \mathrm{Ad}(\pi(u)\theta(\bar{u})) - \omega_i\| \to 0$, *for any $u \in \mathcal{G}$.*

(3) *There is a $\mathcal{G}$-central state ω on $\mathcal{M}$ such that for any $x \in M$ and $a \in \mathcal{U}(A)$*

$$\omega(x) = \mathrm{Tr}(pxp) \quad \text{and} \quad \omega(\pi(a)\theta(\bar{a})) = 1.$$

(4) *There is a state Ω on $\mathbb{B}(L^2(\mathcal{M}))$ such that for any $x \in M$, $a \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ and $u \in \mathcal{G}$,*

$$\Omega(x) = \mathrm{Tr}(pxp), \quad \Omega(\pi(a)\theta(\bar{a})) = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega((\pi(u)\theta(\bar{u})\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{M}}\pi(u)\theta(\bar{u})\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{M}}) = 1.$$

Proof. The proofs are very similar to the one of Theorem A.6. So we give a sketch here. If (1) holds, then one put $\Omega := \mathrm{Lim}_i \langle \cdot\, \xi_i, \xi_i \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{M})}$ and obtain (4). If (4) holds, then the restriction of Ω on $\mathcal{M}$ gives (3). If (3) holds, then we can approximate ω by a net of normal states $(\omega_i)_i \subset \mathcal{M}_*$ weakly. Then by the Hahn–Banach separation theorem, up to convex combinations, we may assume that the convergence is in the norm and obtain (2). Finally if (2) holds, then for each i one can find a unique $\xi_i \in L^2(\mathcal{M})$ which is in the positive cone such that $\omega_i = \langle \cdot\, \xi_i, \xi_i \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{M})}$. By the Powers–Størmer inequality [Ta01, Theorem IX.1.2(iv)], we obtain

$$\|\pi(u)\theta(\bar{u})\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{M}}\pi(u)\theta(\bar{u})\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{M}}\xi_i - \xi_i\|^2 \leq \|\omega_i \circ \mathrm{Ad}(\pi(u^*)\theta(u^\circ)) - \omega_i\| \to 0$$

for any $u \in \mathcal{G}$ and hence (1) holds. □

3.2 W*CMAP with respect to a state produces approximation maps on continuous cores

The aim of this subsection is to produce approximation maps by the W*CMAP with respect to a state. However the most technical part of the proof is obtained in Lemma A.10.

In this subsection, we fix the following setting. Let N and B be von Neumann algebras and φ_N and φ_B faithful normal states on N and B respectively. Put $M := N \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} B$, $\varphi := \varphi_N \otimes \varphi_B$, $E_N := \mathrm{id}_N \otimes \varphi_B$ and $E_B := \varphi_N \otimes \mathrm{id}_B$, and we regard $\widetilde{B} := B \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi_B}} \mathbb{R}$ and $\widetilde{N} := N \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi_N}} \mathbb{R}$ as subalgebras of $\widetilde{M} := M \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi}} \mathbb{R}$. We write as E_M the canonical operator valued weight from $\widetilde{M}$ to M given by $\widehat{\varphi} = \varphi \circ E_M$, where $\widehat{\varphi}$ is the dual weight on $\widetilde{M}$. We also write as E_B the canonical operator valued weight from $\widetilde{M}$ to B given by $\widehat{\varphi} = \varphi_B \circ E_B$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\omega\colon \widetilde{M} \to \widetilde{M}$ and $\psi\colon N \to N$ be c.b. maps given by*

$$\omega := \lambda_q^* E_M(z^* \cdot y)\lambda_p \quad \textit{and} \quad \psi := \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_N(z_i^* \cdot y_i)c_i$$

for some $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$, $y, z \in \mathfrak{n}_{E_M}$ and $c_i, y_i, z_i \in N$. Suppose $\psi \circ \sigma_t^{\varphi_N} = \sigma_t^{\varphi_N} \circ \psi$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, so that the map $\widetilde{\psi} := \psi \otimes \mathrm{id}_B \otimes \mathrm{id}_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$ on $M \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} \mathbb{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}))$ induces the map $\widetilde{M} \to \widetilde{M}$ given by $\widetilde{\psi}(x\lambda_t) = (\psi \otimes \mathrm{id}_B)(x)\lambda_t$ for $x \in M$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the composition $\widetilde{\psi} \circ \omega$ is given by

$$\widetilde{\psi} \circ \omega(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_q^* E_B(\sigma_q^{\varphi_N}(z_i^*) z^* x y \sigma_p^{\varphi_N}(y_i))\lambda_p c_i, \quad x \in \widetilde{M}.$$

Proof. Recall from the proof of Lemma 2.1 that the canonical conditional expectation from $(\widetilde{M}, \widehat{\varphi})$ to $(\widetilde{B}, \widehat{\varphi}_B)$ is given by $E_{B\rtimes\mathbb{R}}((x \otimes b)\lambda_t) = \varphi_N(x)b\lambda_t$ for $x \in N$, $b \in B$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. For $x \in \widetilde{M}$, we calculate that

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\psi} \circ \omega(x) &= \widetilde{\psi}(\lambda_q^* E_M(z^* x y)\lambda_p) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n (\varphi_N(z_i^* \cdot y_i) \otimes \mathrm{id}_B \otimes \mathrm{id}_{L^2(\mathbb{R})})(\lambda_q^* E_M(z^* x y)\lambda_p)c_i \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n E_{B\rtimes\mathbb{R}}(z_i^* \lambda_q^* E_M(z^* x y)\lambda_p y_i)c_i \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_q^* E_{B\rtimes\mathbb{R}}(\sigma_q^{\varphi_N}(z_i^*) E_M(z^* x y)\sigma_p^{\varphi_N}(y_i))\lambda_p c_i \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_q^* E_{B\rtimes\mathbb{R}} \circ E_M(\sigma_q^{\varphi_N}(z_i^*) z^* x y \sigma_p^{\varphi_N}(y_i))\lambda_p c_i.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $E_{B\rtimes\mathbb{R}} \circ E_M = E_B$ by Lemma 2.1, we obtain the conclusion. □

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose that N has the φ_N-W*CMAP. Then there exists a net $(\varphi_\lambda)_\lambda$ of c.c. maps on $\widetilde{M}$ such that $\varphi_\lambda \to \mathrm{id}_{\widetilde{M}}$ point σ-weakly and that each φ_λ is a finite sum of $d^* E_B(z^* \cdot y)c$ for some $c, d \in \widetilde{M}$ and $y, z \in \mathfrak{n}_{E_B}$.*

Proof. Fix a net $(\psi_i)_i$ of normal c.c. maps on N as in Definition 2.6 and put $(\widetilde{\psi}_i)_i$ as in the statement of the previous lemma. Let $(\omega_j)_j$ be a net of c.c.p. maps on $\widetilde{M}$ given by Lemma A.10. Then by Lemma 3.4 the composition $\widetilde{\psi}_i \circ \omega_j$ is a finite sum of $d^* E_B(z^* \cdot y)c$ for some

$c, d \in \widetilde{M}$ and $y, z \in \mathfrak{n}_{E_B}$. Since $\lim_i(\lim_j \widetilde{\psi}_i \circ \omega_j) = \mathrm{id}_{\widetilde{M}}$ in the point σ-weak topology, it is easy to show that for any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset \widetilde{M}$ and any σ-weak neighborhood $\mathcal{V}$ of 0, there is i and j such that $\widetilde{\psi}_i \circ \omega_j(x) - x \in \mathcal{V}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{F}$. So putting this $\widetilde{\psi}_i \circ \omega_j$ as $\varphi_{(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{V})}$, one can construct a desired net $(\varphi_\lambda)_\lambda := (\varphi_{(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{V})})_{(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{V})}$. □

3.3 Relative weakly compact actions on continuous cores

We keep the notation from the previous subsection. Let Tr be an arbitrary semifinite trace on $\widetilde{M}$, $p \in \widetilde{M}$ a projection with $\mathrm{Tr}(p) = 1$, and $A \subset p\widetilde{M}p$ a von Neumann subalgebra with expectation E_A. In this subsection, we prove that if A and M satisfies some assumption, then the normalizer of A in pMp acts on A as a weakly compact action for an appropriate representation.

Since our proof is a generalization of the one of [PV11, Theorem 5.1], we make use of the following notation, which are similar to the ones used in [PV11, Theorem 5.1]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&H := L^2(\widetilde{M}, \widehat{\varphi}) \otimes_B L^2(\widetilde{M}, \mathrm{Tr}), \text{ with left, right actions } \pi_H, \theta_H,\\
&\mathcal{M}_H := \mathrm{W}^*\{\pi_H(\widetilde{M}), \theta_H(\widetilde{M}^\circ)\} \subset \mathbb{B}(H),\\
&\mathcal{H} := (\theta_H(p)H) \otimes_A pL^2(\widetilde{M}, \mathrm{Tr}),\\
&\pi_{\mathcal{H}} \colon \widetilde{M} \ni x \mapsto (x \otimes_B p^\circ) \otimes_A p \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}),\\
&\theta_{\mathcal{H}} \colon \widetilde{M}^\circ \ni y^\circ \mapsto (1 \otimes_B p^\circ) \otimes_A y^\circ \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}),\\
&\mathcal{M} := \mathrm{W}^*\{\pi_{\mathcal{H}}(\widetilde{M}), \theta_{\mathcal{H}}(\widetilde{M}^\circ)\} \subset \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}).
\end{aligned}
$$

As we observed in Lemma 3.3, we actually use the weakly compact action with the standard representation of $\mathcal{M}$. So we first observe that $\mathcal{M}$ admits a useful identification as a crossed product, and so its standard representation is taken as a simple form.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $X \subset \mathcal{M}$ be the von Neumann subalgebra generated by $\pi_{\mathcal{H}}(B)$ and $\theta_{\mathcal{H}}(\widetilde{M}^\circ)$, and let $X \subset \mathbb{B}(L^2(X))$ be a standard representation, so that B and $\widetilde{M}^\circ$ acts on $L^2(X)$. Then $\mathcal{M}$ is isomorphic to the crossed product von Neumann algebra $\mathbb{R} \ltimes (N \overline{\otimes} X)$ by the diagonal action $\sigma^{\varphi_N} \otimes \alpha^X$, where α^X is given by $\alpha^X_t(\pi_{\mathcal{H}}(b)\theta_{\mathcal{H}}(y^\circ)) = \pi_{\mathcal{H}}(\sigma^{\varphi_B}_t(b))\theta_{\mathcal{H}}(y^\circ)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $b \in B$, and $y \in \widetilde{M}$.*

In particular the standard representation of $\mathcal{M}$ is given by $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^2(N) \otimes L^2(X)$ with the following representation: For any $\xi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^2(N) \otimes L^2(X) = L^2(\mathbb{R}, L^2(N) \otimes L^2(X))$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

- $L\mathbb{R} \ni \lambda_t \mapsto \lambda_t \otimes 1_N \otimes 1_X$; $((\lambda_t \otimes 1_N \otimes 1_X)\xi)(s) := \xi(s - t)$;
- $N \ni x \mapsto \pi_{\sigma^{\varphi_N}}(x) \otimes 1_X$; $((\pi_{\sigma^{\varphi_N}}(x) \otimes 1_X)\xi)(s) := (\sigma^{\varphi_N}_{-s}(x) \otimes 1_X)\xi(s)$;
- $B \ni b \mapsto \pi_{\sigma^{\varphi_B}}(b)_{13}$; $((\pi_{\sigma^{\varphi_B}}(b)_{13})\xi)(s) := (1_N \otimes \sigma^{\varphi_B}_{-s}(b))\xi(s)$;
- $\widetilde{M}^\circ \ni y^\circ \mapsto 1_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \otimes 1_N \otimes y^\circ$; $((1_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes 1_N \otimes y^\circ)\xi)(s) := (1_N \otimes y^\circ)\xi(s)$.

Proof. By Proposition 2.3, H is isomorphic to $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^2(N) \otimes L^2(B) \otimes L^2(N) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Since the right $\widetilde{M}$-action acts only on the right three Hilbert spaces, the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = H \otimes_A pL^2(\widetilde{M}, \mathrm{Tr})$ is identified as $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^2(N) \otimes K$, where

$$K := \theta_H(p^\circ)(L^2(B) \otimes L^2(N) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R})) \otimes_A pL^2(\widetilde{M}, \mathrm{Tr}).$$

Note that $\widetilde{M}^\circ$ acts on K by $\theta_{\mathcal{H}}$, and B acts on $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes K$ by $\pi_{\mathcal{H}}$, so that X acts on $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes K$. More precisely we have $X \subset L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \,\overline{\otimes}\, \mathbb{C}1_N \,\overline{\otimes}\, \mathbb{B}(K)$.

Let W be a unitary on $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^2(N)$ given by $(W\xi)(t) := \Delta_{\varphi_N}^{it}\xi(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\xi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^2(N) = L^2(\mathbb{R}, L^2(N))$. It satisfies that for any $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and $x \in N$,

$$W\pi_{\sigma^{\varphi_N}}(x)W^* = 1_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \otimes x, \quad W(\lambda_t \otimes 1_N)W^* = \lambda_t \otimes \Delta_{\varphi_N}^{it}, \quad \text{and} \quad W(f \otimes 1_N)W^* = f \otimes 1_N.$$

Let next V be a unitary on $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R})$ defined similarly to W exchanging $\Delta_{\varphi_N}^{it}$ with λ_t, so that it satisfies for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$,

$$V(1 \otimes \lambda_t)V^* = \lambda_t \otimes \lambda_t \quad \text{and} \quad V(1 \otimes f)V^* = 1 \otimes f.$$

Define then a unitary on $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{H}$ by $U := (V \otimes 1_N \otimes 1_K)(1_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \otimes W \otimes 1_K)$. One can show that $\operatorname{Ad} U = \mathrm{id}$ on $\mathbb{C}1_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \otimes X \subset \mathbb{C}1_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \overline{\otimes} L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \overline{\otimes} \mathbb{C}1_N \overline{\otimes} \mathbb{B}(K)$, and

- $\operatorname{Ad} U(1_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \otimes \lambda_t \otimes 1_N \otimes 1_K) = (\lambda_t \otimes \lambda_t \otimes \Delta_{\varphi_N}^{it} \otimes 1_K), \quad$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$,
- $\operatorname{Ad} U(1_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \otimes \pi_{\sigma^{\varphi_N}}(x) \otimes 1_K) = (1_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \otimes 1_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \otimes x \otimes 1_K), \quad$ for $x \in N$.

Then $\operatorname{Ad} U(\mathcal{M})$ is identified as the crossed product von Neumann algebra $\mathbb{R} \ltimes (N \overline{\otimes} X)$ given by the $\mathbb{R}$-action $\sigma^{\varphi_N} \otimes \alpha^X$, where α^X is given by $\operatorname{Ad}(\lambda_t \otimes 1_N \otimes 1_K)$ using $X \subset L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}1_N \otimes \mathbb{B}(K)$, which is exactly the action given in the statement. Finally one can choose the standard representation of $\mathbb{R} \ltimes (N \overline{\otimes} X)$ as in the statement and we can end the proof. □

The following theorem is the main observation of this section. This is a generalization of [OP07, Theorem 3.5] and [PV11, Theorem 5.1]. Since we already obtained approximation maps for $\widetilde{M}$ in Lemma 3.5, which are "relative to B", almost same arguments as of [OP07, Theorem 3.5] and [PV11, Theorem 5.1] work. However, since our approximation maps are not defined directly on $\mathcal{M}_H$, we need a stronger assumption on the subalgebra A, namely, we need *amenability*, instead of relative amenability. See Step 1 in the proof below and observe that we really need amenability for a subalgebra $Q \subset pMp$.

Theorem 3.7. *Keep the setting above and suppose the following conditions.*

- *The algebra B is a type III_1 factor.*
- *The algebra A is amenable.*
- *The algebra N has the φ_N-W*CMAP.*

Then $\mathcal{N}_{p\widetilde{M}p}(A)$ acts on A as a weakly compact action for $(\widetilde{M}, \mathrm{Tr}, \pi_{\mathcal{H}}, \theta_{\mathcal{H}}, \mathcal{M})$.

Proof. The proof consists of several steps. For any von Neumann subalgebra $Q \subset p\widetilde{M}p$, we write as $\mathcal{C}_{H,Q}$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}_{H,Q}$) the C*-algebra (resp. the von Neumann algebra) generated by $\pi_H(p\widetilde{M}p)\theta_H(Q^\circ)$.

Step 1. Using the φ_N-W*CMAP of N, we construct a net of normal functionals on $\mathcal{M}_H$ which are contractive on $\mathcal{M}_{H,Q}$ for any amenable Q.

In this step, we show that there is a net $(\mu_i)_i$ of normal functional on $\mathcal{M}_H$ such that

- $\mu_i(\pi_H(a)\theta_H(b^\circ)) = \mathrm{Tr}(p\varphi_i(a)pbp)$ for all $a, b \in \widetilde{M}$,
- we have $\|\mu_i|_{\mathcal{M}_{H,Q}}\| \leq 1$ for any amenable von Neumann subalgebra $Q \subset p\widetilde{M}p$.

By Lemma 3.5, there exists a net $(\varphi_i)_i$ of c.c. maps on $\widetilde{M}$ such that $\varphi_i \to \mathrm{id}_{\widetilde{M}}$ point σ-weakly and that each φ_i is a finite sum of $d^*E_B(z^* \cdot y)c$ for $c, d \in \widetilde{M}$ and $y, z \in \mathfrak{n}_{E_B}$. Observe that for any functional $d^*E_B(z^* \cdot y)c$ for some $c, d \in \widetilde{M}$ and $y, z \in \mathfrak{n}_{E_B}$, one can define an associated normal functional on $\mathcal{M}_H$ by

$$\mathcal{M}_H \ni T \mapsto \langle T(\Lambda_{\widehat{\varphi}}(y) \otimes_B \Lambda_{\mathrm{Tr}}(cp)), \Lambda_{\widehat{\varphi}}(z) \otimes_B \Lambda_{\mathrm{Tr}}(dp)\rangle_H.$$

In this way, since φ_i is a finite sum of such maps, one can associate each φ_i with a normal functional on $\mathcal{M}_H$, which we denote by μ_i. Then by the formula $L^*_{\Lambda_{\widehat{\varphi}}(z)} a L_{\Lambda_{\widehat{\varphi}}(y)} = E_B(z^*ay)$ for $x, y \in \mathfrak{n}_{E_B} \cap \mathfrak{n}_\varphi$ and $a \in \widetilde{M}$, it is easy to verify that $\mu_i(\pi_H(a)\theta_H(b^\circ)) = \mathrm{Tr}(p\varphi_i(a)pbp)$ for $a, b \in \widetilde{M}$. We need to show that $\|\mu_i|_{\mathcal{M}_{H,Q}}\| \leq 1$ for any amenable $Q \subset p\widetilde{M}p$. For this, since μ_i is normal, we have only to show that $\|\mu_i|_{\mathcal{C}_{H,Q}}\| \leq 1$.

By Lemma 3.8 below, since B is a type III_1 factor, the $*$-algebra generated by $\pi_H(\widetilde{M})$ and $\theta_H(\widetilde{M}^\circ)$ is isomorphic to $\widetilde{M} \otimes_{\mathrm{alg}} \widetilde{M}^\circ$. So for any amenable $Q \subset p\widetilde{M}p$, the C*-algebra generated by $\pi_H(\widetilde{M})\theta_H(Q^\circ)$ is isomorphic to $\widetilde{M} \otimes_{\min} Q^\circ$. Hence one can define c.c. maps $\varphi_i \otimes \mathrm{id}_{Q^\circ}$ on $\mathcal{C}_{H,Q}$. Since Q is amenable, one has

$${}_{\widetilde{M}}L^2(\widetilde{M}p)_Q \prec {}_{\widetilde{M}}(\theta_H(p^\circ)H)_Q.$$

Finally if we write as ν the associated $*$-homomorphism as with this weak containment, then the functional $T \mapsto \langle \nu \circ (\varphi_i \otimes \mathrm{id}_{Q^\circ})(T)\Lambda_{\mathrm{Tr}}(p), \Lambda_{\mathrm{Tr}}(p)\rangle_{\mathrm{Tr}}$ coincides with μ_i on $\mathcal{C}_{H,Q}$, and hence we obtain $\|\mu_i|_{\mathcal{C}_{H,Q}}\| \leq 1$. Thus we obtained a desired net $(\mu_i)_i$.

Step 2. Using the amenability of A, the absolute values of normal functionals $(\mu_i)_i$ constructed in Step 1 satisfies desired properties on $\mathcal{M}_{H,A}$.

Before this step, recall from the first part of the proof of [OP07, Theorem 3.5] that for any C*-algebra C, any state ω on C and any partial isometry $u \in C$ with $p := uu^*$ and $q := u^*u$, one has

$$\max\{\|\omega(\cdot\, u^*) - \omega(\cdot\, q)\|^2, \|\omega(u \cdot u^*) - \omega(q \cdot q)\|^2\} \leq 4\left(\omega(p) + \omega(q) - \omega(u) - \omega(u^*)\right).$$

Let $(\mu_i)_i$ be a net constructed in Step 1. For notation simplicity, for any amenable von Neumann subalgebra $Q \subset p\widetilde{M}p$ we denote by μ_i^Q the restriction of μ_i on $\mathcal{M}_{H,Q}$.

Claim. *For any amenable Q, one has*

$$\|\mu_i^Q\| \to 1 \quad \textit{and} \quad \|\mu_i^Q - |\mu_i^Q|\| \to 0,$$

where $|\mu_i^Q|$ is the absolute value of μ_i^Q.

Proof of Claim. By Step 1, we know $\|\mu_i^Q\| \leq 1$ and hence $\|\mu_i^Q\| \to 1$, since $\mu_i(\pi_H(p)\theta_H(p^\circ)) \to 1$. Let $\mu_i^Q = |\mu_i^Q|(\cdot\, u_i)$ be the polar decomposition with a partial isometry $u_i \in \mathcal{M}_{H,Q}$. For $p_i := u_iu_i^*$ and $q_i := u_i^*u_i$, it holds that

$$|\mu_i^Q| = \mu_i^Q(\cdot\, u_i^*), \quad |\mu_i^Q| = |\mu_i^Q|(q_i \cdot q_i), \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_i^Q = \mu_i^Q(\cdot\, p_i) = \mu_i^Q(q_i\, \cdot).$$

The final equation says that $\mu_i^Q(p_i) = \mu_i^Q(1_Q) \to 1$. Then by the inequality at the beginning of this step, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mu_i^Q - |\mu_i^Q|\|^2 &= \||\mu_i^Q|(\cdot\, u_i^*) - |\mu_i^Q|(\cdot\, q_i)\|^2 \\
&\leq 4\left(|\mu_i^Q|(p_i) + |\mu_i^Q|(q_i) - |\mu_i^Q|(u_i) - |\mu_i^Q|(u_i^*)\right) \\
&\leq 4\left(\|\mu_i^Q\| + \|\mu_i^Q\| - 2\mathrm{Re}(\mu_i^Q(p_i))\right) \to 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the claim. ∎

Put $\omega_i := |\mu_i^A|/\|\mu_i^A\|$. In this step, we show that $(\omega_i)_i$ satisfies the following conditions:

(i) $\omega_i(\pi_H(x)\theta_H(p^\circ)) \to \mathrm{Tr}(pxp)$, for all $x \in p\widetilde{M}p$;

(ii) $\omega_i(\pi_H(a)\theta_H(\bar{a})) \to 1$, for all $a \in \mathcal{U}(A)$;

(iii) $\|\omega_i \circ \mathrm{Ad}(\pi_H(u)\theta_H(\bar{u})) - \omega_i\|_{\mathcal{M}_{H,A}^*} \to 0$, for all $u \in \mathcal{N}_{p\widetilde{M}p}(A)$.

Since $\|\mu_i^A\| \to 1$ and $\|\mu_i^A - |\mu_i^A|\| \to 0$, to verify these three conditions, we have only to show that $(\mu_i)_i$ satisfies the same conditions. Then by construction, it is easy to verify (i) and (ii). So we will check only the final condition.

Fix $u \in \mathcal{N}_{p\widetilde{M}p}(A)$ and recall that the von Neumann algebra A^u generated by A and u is amenable [OP07, Lemma 3.4]. Hence by Step 1, $\||\mu_i^{A^u}| - \mu_i^{A^u}\|_{\mathcal{M}_{H,A^u}^*} \to 0$. Combined with the inequality at the beginning of this step, putting $U := \pi_H(u)\theta_H(\bar{u})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_i \|\mu_i^A \circ \mathrm{Ad}\, U - \mu_i^A\|_{\mathcal{M}_{H,A}^*}^2 &\leq \lim_i \|\mu_i^{A^u} \circ \mathrm{Ad}\, U - \mu_i^{A^u}\|_{\mathcal{M}_{H,A^u}^*}^2 \\
&= \lim_i \||\mu_i^{A^u}| \circ \mathrm{Ad}\, U - |\mu_i^{A^u}|\|_{\mathcal{M}_{H,A^u}^*}^2 \\
&\leq \lim_i 4\,\big(2 - 2\mathrm{Re}(|\mu_i^{A^u}|(U))\big) \\
&= \lim_i 4\,\big(2 - 2\mathrm{Re}(\mu_i^{A^u}(U))\big) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we proved that the net $(\omega_i)_i$ of normal states on $\mathcal{M}_H$ satisfies conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) above.

Step 3. Using a normal u.c.p. map from $\mathcal{M}$ to $\mathcal{M}_{H,A}$, we obtain desired functionals on $\mathcal{M}$.

In this step, we first construct a normal u.c.p. map $\mathcal{E}\colon \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}_{H,A}$ satisfying

$$\mathcal{E}(\pi_{\mathcal{H}}(a)\theta_{\mathcal{H}}(b^\circ)) = \pi_H(pap)\theta_H(E_A(pbp)^\circ), \quad \text{for any } a, b \in \widetilde{M},$$

where E_A is the unique Tr-preserving conditional expectation from $p\widetilde{M}p$ onto A.

For this, observe first that for any right A-module K with the right action θ_K, there is an isometry $V_K\colon K \to K \otimes_A pL^2(\widetilde{M}, \mathrm{Tr})$ given by $V\xi = \xi \otimes_A \Lambda_{\mathrm{Tr}}(p)$ for any left Tr-bounded vector $\xi \in K$. Indeed, using the fact $\Lambda_{\mathrm{Tr}}(p) = J_{\mathrm{Tr}}\Lambda_{\mathrm{Tr}}(p)$, one has

$$\|V\xi\| = \|\xi \otimes_A \Lambda_{\mathrm{Tr}}(p)\| = \|L_\xi \Lambda_{\mathrm{Tr}}(p)\|_{2,\mathrm{Tr}} = \|L_\xi \Lambda_{\mathrm{Tr}}(p)\|_{2,\mathrm{Tr}} = \|\theta_K(p^\circ)\xi\|_K = \|\xi\|_K.$$

Hence, since $\pi_H(p)\theta_H(p^\circ)H$ is a right A-module, one can define an isometry

$$V\colon \pi_H(p)\theta_H(p^\circ)H \to \pi_{\mathcal{H}}(p)\theta_{\mathcal{H}}(p^\circ)\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{H}; \quad V\xi := \xi \otimes_A \Lambda_{\mathrm{Tr}}(p).$$

It is then easy to verify that

$$V^*\pi_{\mathcal{H}}(a)\theta_{\mathcal{H}}(b^\circ)V = \pi_H(pap)\theta_H(E_A(pbp)^\circ), \quad \text{for any } a, b \in \widetilde{M}.$$

Thus we obtain a normal u.c.p. map $\mathcal{E}\colon \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}_{H,A}$ by $\mathcal{E}(T) := V^*TV$.

Let now $(\omega_i)_i$ be the net of normal states on $\mathcal{M}_{H,A}$ constructed in Step 2. By conditions (i) and (ii) on $(\omega_i)_i$, it is easy to see that normal states $\gamma_i := \omega_i \circ \mathcal{E}$ on $\mathcal{M}$ satisfy

(i)′ $\gamma_i(\pi_{\mathcal{H}}(x)) \to \tau(pxp)$, for all $x \in \widetilde{M}$;

(ii)′ $\gamma_i(\pi_{\mathcal{H}}(a)\theta_{\mathcal{H}}(\bar{a})) \to 1$, for all $a \in \mathcal{U}(A)$.

Finally since E_A satisfies $E_A \circ \mathrm{Ad}\, u = \mathrm{Ad}\, u \circ E_A$ for any $u \in \mathcal{N}_{p\widetilde{M}p}(A)$, one has

$$\gamma_i \circ \mathrm{Ad}(\pi_{\mathcal{H}}(u)\theta_{\mathcal{H}}(\bar{u})) = \omega_i \circ \mathrm{Ad}(\pi_{\mathcal{H}}(u)\theta_{\mathcal{H}}(\bar{u})) \circ \mathcal{E}$$

on $\pi_{\mathcal{H}}(\widetilde{M})\theta_{\mathcal{H}}(\widetilde{M})$, and hence on $\mathcal{M}$ by normality. So condition (iii) on $(\omega_i)_i$ shows

(iii)$'$ $\|\gamma_i \circ \mathrm{Ad}(\pi_{\mathcal{H}}(u)\theta_{\mathcal{H}}(\bar{u})) - \gamma_i\| \to 0$, for all $u \in \mathcal{N}_{p\widetilde{M}p}(A)$.

Thus the net $(\gamma_i)_i$ on $\mathcal{M}$ satisfies conditions (i)$'$, (ii)$'$ and (iii)$'$. By Proposition 3.3(2), we conclude that $\mathcal{N}_{p\widetilde{M}p}(A)$ acts on A weakly compactly for $(\widetilde{M}, \mathrm{Tr}, \pi_{\mathcal{H}}, \theta_{\mathcal{H}}, \mathcal{M})$. □

We prove a lemma used in the proof above.

Lemma 3.8. *Assume that B is a type III_1 factor. Then the $*$-algebra generated by $\pi_H(\widetilde{M})$ and $\theta_H(\widetilde{M}^\circ)$ is isomorphic to $\widetilde{M} \otimes_{\mathrm{alg}} \widetilde{M}^\circ$.*

Proof. Let $\nu\colon \widetilde{M} \otimes_{\mathrm{alg}} \widetilde{M}^\circ \to *\text{-alg}\{\pi_H(\widetilde{M}), \theta_H(\widetilde{M}^\circ)\}$ be a $*$-homomorphism given by $\nu(x \otimes y^\circ) = \pi_H(x)\theta_H(y^\circ)$ for $x, y \in \widetilde{M}$. We will show that ν is injective.

Assume that $\nu(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i^\circ) = \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_H(x_i)\theta_H(y_i^\circ) = 0$ for some $x_i, y_i \in \widetilde{M}$. We may assume $y_i \neq 0$ for all i. Put

$$X := \begin{bmatrix} \pi_H(x_1) & \pi_H(x_2) & \cdots & \pi_H(x_n) \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Y := \begin{bmatrix} \theta_H(y_1^\circ) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \theta_H(y_2^\circ) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \theta_H(y_n^\circ) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and observe $XY = 0$. We regard them as elements in $\mathbb{B}(H) \otimes \mathbb{M}_n$. Let p be the left support projection of Y which is contained in $\theta_H(\widetilde{M}^\circ) \otimes \mathbb{M}_n$ and satisfies $Xp = 0$. Since $Xupu^* = 0$ for any unitary $u \in \mathbb{B}(H) \otimes \mathbb{M}_n$ which commutes with X, and since $\theta_H(\widetilde{M}^\circ) \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ commutes with X (where $\mathbb{C}^n \subset \mathbb{M}_n$ is the diagonal embedding), we have $Xz = 0$ for $z := \sup\{upu^* \mid u \in \mathcal{U}(\theta_H(\widetilde{M}^\circ) \otimes \mathbb{C}^n)\}$. Observe that z is contained in

$$(\theta_H(\widetilde{M}^\circ) \otimes \mathbb{M}_n) \cap (\theta_H(\widetilde{M}^\circ) \otimes \mathbb{C}^n)' = \theta_H(\mathcal{Z}(\widetilde{M})^\circ) \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$$

and hence we can write $z = (z_i)_{i=1}^n$ for some $z_i \in \theta_H(\mathcal{Z}(\widetilde{M})^\circ)$. Then the condition $Xz = 0$ is equivalent to $\pi_H(x_i)z_i = 0$ for all i. Observe also that $z_i \neq 0$ for all i. Indeed, since $z \geq p$ and $pY = Y$, we have $zY = Y$ and hence $z_i\theta_H(y_i^\circ) = \theta_H(y_i^\circ)$. This implies $z_i \neq 0$ since we assume $y_i \neq 0$ for all i.

Now we claim that $\pi_H(x_i)z_i = 0$ is equivalent to $x_i = 0$ or $z_i = 0$. Once we prove the claim, since $z_i \neq 0$, we have $x_i = 0$ and so $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i^\circ = 0$, that means injectivity of ν.

By Lemma 2.2, the center of $\widetilde{M}$ coincides with $\mathcal{Z}(N)$. Then by Proposition 2.3, we identify $H = L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^2(N) \otimes L^2(B, \psi_B) \otimes L^2(N) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R})$ on which we have

$$\pi_H(\widetilde{M}) \subset \mathbb{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^2(N) \otimes L^2(B, \psi_B)) \otimes \mathbb{C}1_{L^2(N) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R})},$$
$$\theta_H(\widetilde{M}^\circ) \subset \mathbb{C}1_{L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^2(N)} \otimes \mathbb{B}(L^2(B, \psi_B) \otimes L^2(N) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R})).$$

In particular $\theta_H(\mathcal{Z}(\widetilde{M})^\circ) = \theta_H(\mathcal{Z}(N)) \subset \mathbb{C}1_{L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^2(N) \otimes L^2(B, \psi_B)} \otimes \mathbb{B}(L^2(N) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R}))$, and hence the C*-algebra generated by $\pi_H(\widetilde{M})$ and $\theta_H(\mathcal{Z}(\widetilde{M})^\circ)$ is isomorphic to $\widetilde{M} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{Z}(\widetilde{M})^\circ$. Thus since $z_i \in \theta_H(\mathcal{Z}(\widetilde{M})^\circ)$, the condition $\pi_H(x_i)z_i = 0$ is equivalent to $x_i = 0$ or $z_i = 0$. This completes the proof. □

4 Proof of Theorem A

In this section, we prove Theorem A. We follow the proof of [Is13, Theorem B], which originally comes from the one of [PV12, Theorem 1.4].

4.1 Some general observations

Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a compact quantum group with the Haar state h and put $N_0 := C_{\mathrm{red}}(\mathbb{G}) \subset L^\infty(G) =: N$ and $\varphi_N := h$. Let (X, φ_X) be a von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal semifinite weight. Let α^X be an action of $\mathbb{R}$ on X and put $\alpha := \sigma^{\varphi_N} \otimes \alpha^X$ and $\mathcal{M} := (N \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} X) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{R}$.

In this setting, we prove two general lemmas. We use the following general fact for quantum groups.

- For any $x \in \mathrm{Irred}(\mathbb{G})$, there is an orthonormal basis $\{u^x_{i,j}\}_{i,j} \subset C_{\mathrm{red}}(\mathbb{G})$ of H_x with $\lambda^x_{i,j} > 0$ such that $\sigma^h_t(u^x_{i,j}) = \lambda^x_{i,j} u^x_{i,j}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Recall that all the linear spans of such a basis, which is usually called a dense Hopf $*$-algebra, make a norm dense $*$-subalgebra of $C_{\mathrm{red}}(\mathbb{G})$. We note that each matrix $(u^x_{i,j})_{i,j}$ may not be a unitary, since we assume $\{u^x_{i,j}\}_{i,j}$ is orthonormal (i.e. they are normalized).

Convention. *Throughout this section, we fix such a basis $\{u^x_{i,j}\}^x_{i,j}$. For notation simplicity, we identify any subset $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathrm{Irred}(\mathbb{G})$ (possibly $\mathcal{E} = \mathrm{Irred}(\mathbb{G})$) with the set $\{u^x_{i,j} \mid x \in \mathcal{E}, i, j\}$.*

Note that this identification will not make any confusion, since in proofs of this section we only use the property that $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathrm{Irred}(\mathbb{G})$ is a finite set.

Here we record an elementary lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *For any $a \in N_0$, the element $\pi_{\sigma^{\varphi_N}}(a) \in N \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi_N}} \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{B}(L^2(N) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R}))$ is contained in $N_0 \otimes_{\min} C_b(\mathbb{R})$, where $C_b(\mathbb{R})$ is the set of all norm continuous bounded functions on $\mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. We may assume that a is an eigenvector, namely, $\sigma^{\varphi_N}_t(a) = \lambda^{it} a$ for some $\lambda > 0$. Then since $(\pi_{\sigma^{\varphi_N}}(a)\xi)(t) = \sigma^{\varphi_N}_{-t}(a)\xi(t) = \lambda^{-it} a \xi(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, one has $\pi_{\sigma^{\varphi_N}}(a) = a \otimes f$, where $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$ is given by $f(t) := \lambda^{-it}$. Hence we get the conclusion. □

We fix a faithful normal semifinite weight φ_X on X and put $\psi := \varphi_N \otimes \varphi_X$ with its dual weight $\widehat{\psi}$. Recall that the compression map by $P_N \otimes 1_X \otimes 1_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$, where P_N is the one dimensional projection from $L^2(N)$ onto $\mathbb{C}\Lambda_{\varphi_N}(1_N)$, is a conditional expectation $E_{X \rtimes \mathbb{R}} \colon \mathcal{M} \to X \rtimes \mathbb{R}$, which satisfies $\widehat{\psi} = \widehat{\varphi}_X \circ E_{X \rtimes \mathbb{R}}$ (this was shown in the first half of the proof of Lemma 2.1). For any $a \in \mathcal{M}$ and $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{M})\mathfrak{n}_\psi$, we denote by af an element in $C_c(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{M})\mathfrak{n}_\psi$ given by $t \mapsto \alpha_{-t}(a) f(t)$. Observe that $\Lambda_{\widehat{\psi}}(\widehat{\pi}_\alpha(af)) = \pi_\alpha(a)\Lambda_{\widehat{\psi}}(\widehat{\pi}_\alpha(f))$. It holds that for any $a, b \in N$ and $f, g \in C_c(\mathbb{R}, X)\mathfrak{n}_{\varphi_X}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle af, bg \rangle_{\widehat{\psi}} &= \widehat{\psi}(\widehat{\pi}_\alpha(bg)^* \widehat{\pi}_\alpha(af)) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(g(t)^* \sigma^{\varphi_N}_{-t}(b)^* \sigma^{\varphi_N}_{-t}(a) f(t)) dt \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_N(\sigma^{\varphi_N}_{-t}(b^* a)) \varphi_X(g(t)^* f(t)) dt \\
&= \varphi_N(b^* a) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_X(g(t)^* f(t)) dt \\
&= \langle a, b \rangle_{\varphi_N} \langle f, g \rangle_{\widehat{\varphi}_X}.
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that all the linear spans of uf for $u \in \mathrm{Irred}(\mathbb{G})$ and $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}, X)\mathfrak{n}_{\varphi_X}$ are dense in $L^2(N) \otimes L^2(X) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R})$. So if $\{f_\lambda\}_\lambda \subset C_c(\mathbb{R}, X)\mathfrak{n}_{\varphi_X}$ is an orthonormal basis in $L^2(X) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R})$, then the set $\{uf_\lambda\}_{u,\lambda}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2(N) \otimes L^2(X) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Along this basis, any $a \in \mathfrak{n}_{\widehat{\psi}}$ can be decomposed in $L^2(N) \otimes L^2(X) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R})$ as, for some $\alpha_{u,\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\Lambda_{\widehat{\psi}}(a) = \sum_{u,\lambda} \alpha_{u,\lambda} u f_\lambda = \sum_{u,\lambda} \alpha_{u,\lambda} \pi_{\varphi_N}(u) \Lambda_{\widehat{\psi}}(\widehat{\pi}_\alpha(f_\lambda)) = \sum_u \pi_{\sigma^{\varphi_N}}(u) a_u$$

where $a_u = \sum_\lambda \alpha_{u,\lambda} f_\lambda \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, X)$. If we apply $(P_N \otimes 1_X \otimes 1_{L^2(\mathbb{R})})\pi_{\sigma^{\varphi_N}}(v^*)$ for some $v \in \mathrm{Irred}(\mathbb{G})$ to this decomposition, then on the one hand

$$(P_N \otimes 1_X \otimes 1_{L^2(\mathbb{R})})\pi_{\sigma^{\varphi_N}}(v^*)\, \Lambda_{\widehat{\psi}}(a) = (P_N \otimes 1_X \otimes 1_{L^2(\mathbb{R})})\, \Lambda_{\widehat{\psi}}(v^* a) = \Lambda_{\widehat{\psi}}(E_{X \rtimes \mathbb{R}}(v^* a))$$

and on the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} & (P_N \otimes 1_X \otimes 1_{L^2(\mathbb{R})})\pi_{\sigma^{\varphi_N}}(v^*) \sum_u \pi_{\sigma^{\varphi_N}}(u) a_u \\ = \; & (P_N \otimes 1_X \otimes 1_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}) \sum_u \pi_{\sigma^{\varphi_N}}(v^* u)(P_N \otimes 1_X \otimes 1_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}) a_u \\ = \; & \sum_u \varphi_N(v^* u) a_u = \varphi_N(v^* v) a_v = a_v. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have $a_v = \Lambda_{\widehat{\psi}}(E_{X \rtimes \mathbb{R}}(v^* a))$ for all $v \in \mathrm{Irred}(\mathbb{G})$. Thus we observed that any element $a \in \mathfrak{n}_{\widehat{\psi}}$ has the *Fourier expansion* in the sense that

$$\Lambda_{\widehat{\psi}}(a) = \sum_u \pi_{\sigma^{\varphi_N}}(u) a_u = \sum_u \Lambda_{\widehat{\psi}}(u E_{X \rtimes \mathbb{R}}(u^* a)), \quad \text{where } a_u = \Lambda_{\widehat{\psi}}(E_{X \rtimes \mathbb{R}}(u^* a)).$$

We use this property to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\mathcal{M}_0 \subset \mathcal{M}$ be the C*-subalgebra generated by N_0 and $X \rtimes \mathbb{R}$. Then one has*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_0 &= \overline{\mathrm{span}}^{\mathrm{norm}}\{ax \mid a \in N_0,\ x \in X \rtimes \mathbb{R}\} \\ &= \overline{\mathrm{span}}^{\mathrm{norm}}\{xa \mid a \in N_0,\ x \in X \rtimes \mathbb{R}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $X \rtimes_{\mathrm{alg}} \mathbb{R}$ be the set of all the linear spans of $x\lambda_t$ for $x \in X$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Since any $u \in \mathrm{Irred}(\mathbb{G})$ commutes with $\lambda_t x$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in X$ up to scalars, we have $u(X \rtimes_{\mathrm{alg}} \mathbb{R}) = (X \rtimes_{\mathrm{alg}} \mathbb{R})u$. So for any $x \in X \rtimes \mathbb{R}$, the element xu is contained in the σ-weak closure of $u(X \rtimes_{\mathrm{alg}} \mathbb{R})$. Here we claim the following.

Claim. *For any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathrm{Irred}(\mathbb{G})$, the set $\sum_{u \in \mathcal{F}} u(X \rtimes \mathbb{R})$ is σ-weakly closed.*

Proof of Claim. Take a net $(\sum_u u f_\lambda^u)_\lambda \subset \sum_{u \in \mathcal{F}} u(X \rtimes \mathbb{R})$ for some $f_\lambda^u \in X \rtimes \mathbb{R}$, which converges to some element $g \in (N \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} X) \rtimes \mathbb{R}$ σ-weakly. We fix any $p \in \mathfrak{n}_{\widehat{\varphi}_X}$. Then since $gp \in \mathfrak{n}_{\widehat{\psi}}$, we have $\Lambda_{\widehat{\psi}}(gp) = \sum_v \Lambda_{\widehat{\psi}}(v E_{X \rtimes \mathbb{R}}(v^* gp))$. Observe that, as $\lambda \to \infty$,

$$E_{X \rtimes \mathbb{R}}(v^* gp) \leftarrow E_{X \rtimes \mathbb{R}}(v^*(\sum_{u \in \mathcal{F}} u f_\lambda^u)p) = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{F}} \varphi_N(v^* u) f_\lambda^u p = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{F}} \delta_{u,v} f_\lambda^u p.$$

We have that $E_{X \rtimes \mathbb{R}}(v^* gp) = 0$ if $v \notin \mathcal{F}$, and hence

$$gp = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{F}} u E_{X \rtimes \mathbb{R}}(u^* gp) \in \sum_{u \in \mathcal{F}} u(X \rtimes \mathbb{R}).$$

Since this holds for arbitrary $p \in \mathfrak{n}_{\widehat{\varphi}_X}$, if we take a net $(p_\lambda)_\lambda \in \mathfrak{n}_{\widehat{\varphi}_X}$ converges to 1 σ-weakly, then one has

$$g = \lim_\lambda gp_\lambda = \lim_\lambda \sum_{u\in\mathcal{F}} uE_{X\rtimes\mathbb{R}}(u^* gp_\lambda) = \sum_{u\in\mathcal{F}} uE_{X\rtimes\mathbb{R}}(u^* g).$$

Since the final term is contained in $\sum_{u\in\mathcal{F}} u(X\rtimes\mathbb{R})$, we obtain the conclusion. □

By the claim, for any $u \in \mathrm{Irred}(\mathbb{G})$ and $x \in X \rtimes \mathbb{R}$, xu is contained in $u(X \rtimes \mathbb{R})$, and hence $(X \rtimes \mathbb{R})u \subset u(X \rtimes \mathbb{R})$. Next since $(X \rtimes_{\mathrm{alg}} \mathbb{R})u^* = u^*(X \rtimes_{\mathrm{alg}} \mathbb{R})$, $(X \rtimes \mathbb{R})u^*$ is contained in the σ-weak closure of $u^*(X \rtimes \mathbb{R})$. Since u^* is a linear combination of some $v \in \mathcal{F}$, where $\mathcal{F} \subset \{u^x_{i,j}\}^x_{i,j}$ is a finite subset, $u^*(X \rtimes \mathbb{R})$ is contained in $\sum_{v\in\mathcal{F}} v(X \rtimes \mathbb{R})$, which is σ-weak closed by the Claim. We conclude $(X \rtimes \mathbb{R})u^* \subset \sum_{v\in\mathcal{F}} v(X \rtimes \mathbb{R})$, and hence $u(X \rtimes \mathbb{R}) \subset \sum_{v\in\mathcal{F}}(X \rtimes \mathbb{R})v^*$. This implies that

$$\mathrm{span}\{ux \mid u \in \mathrm{Irred}(\mathbb{G}),\ x \in X \rtimes \mathbb{R}\} = \mathrm{span}\{xu \mid u \in \mathrm{Irred}(\mathbb{G}),\ x \in X \rtimes \mathbb{R}\}$$

and they are $*$-algebras. This immediately yields the conclusion. □

4.2 Proof of Theorem A

In this subsection, we prove Theorem A. We fix the following setting. Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a compact quantum group with the Haar state h and put $N_0 := C_{\mathrm{red}}(\mathbb{G}) \subset L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) =: N$ and $\varphi_N := h$. Let (B, φ_B) be a von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal state. We keep the notation from Subsections 3.2 and 3.3, such as $M, \varphi, \widetilde{B}, \widetilde{M}, \mathrm{Tr}, p, A, \mathcal{H}, \pi_{\mathcal{H}}, \theta_{\mathcal{H}}, \mathcal{M}$, except for the Hilbert space H (which is used just below in a different method). Assume that $\mathrm{Tr}|_{\widetilde{B}}$ is semifinite. Recall that by Lemma 3.6, $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R} \ltimes (N \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} X)$ with the standard representation $L^2(\mathcal{M}) = L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^2(N) \otimes L^2(X)$. Write as $\pi := \pi_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\theta := \theta_{\mathcal{H}}$ for simplicity, and we sometimes omit π and θ regarding $\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{M}^\circ \subset \mathcal{M}$. Using Proposition 2.3, we put

$$H := L^2(\mathcal{M}) \otimes_X L^2(\mathcal{M}) = L^2_\ell(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^2_\ell(N) \otimes L^2(X) \otimes L^2_r(N) \otimes L^2_r(\mathbb{R}),$$
$$K := L^2(\mathcal{M}) \otimes_{(N\overline{\otimes}X)} L^2(\mathcal{M}) = L^2_\ell(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^2(N) \otimes L^2(X) \otimes L^2_r(\mathbb{R}),$$

and we denote by π_H, ρ_H, π_K and ρ_K corresponding left and right actions of $\mathcal{M}$. Here we are using symbols ℓ and r for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $L^2(N)$, so that π_H and π_K act on $L^2_\ell(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^2_\ell(N) \otimes L^2(X)$ and $L^2_\ell(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^2_\ell(N) \otimes L^2(X)$ respectively, and θ_H and θ_K act on $L^2(X) \otimes L^2_r(N) \otimes L^2_r(\mathbb{R})$ and $L^2(N) \otimes L^2(X) \otimes L^2_r(\mathbb{R})$ respectively. We denote by $\nu_{K,H}$ the corresponding $*$-homomorphism as $\mathcal{M}$-bimodules, which is *not* bounded in general.

In this setting, we prove two key lemmas. The first one treats bi-exactness of quantum groups, which corresponds to [Is12, Lemma 4.1.3], and the second one uses Popa's intertwining techniques which corresponds to [Is12, Lemma 4.1.2][Is13, Lemma 4.4]. See also [PV12, Subsections 3.2 and 3.5] for the origins of them. The important difference between approaches in [Is12, Is13] and ours is that we make use of the Hilbert space K, in stead of $L^2(\mathcal{M})$ by using Corollary A.9. This is to avoid a technical problem of the second use of weak amenability together with bi-exactness.

More precisely, we constructed approximation maps for $\mathbb{R} \ltimes_r (N_0 \otimes_{\min} X) \subset \mathcal{M}$ in Subsection 3.2, but it is not well-defined on $\mathrm{C}^*\{\pi_H(\mathcal{M}), \theta_H(\mathcal{M}^\circ)\}$. So we will use an intermediate algebra between $\mathbb{R} \ltimes_r (N_0 \otimes_{\min} X)$ and $\mathcal{M}$, so that we can apply approximation maps coming directly from N. In particular the resulting maps are well-defined on a C*-subalgebra in $\mathrm{C}^*\{\pi_H(\mathcal{M}), \theta_H(\mathcal{M}^\circ)\}$, which is enough large for our purpose. See the second claim in the proof of Theorem 4.5.

At the Hilbert space level, we connect H with K by bi-exactness, up to compact operators. This works on a dense C*-algebra and we need an approximation (as in the previous paragraph) to extend the phenomena to the whole algebra. We can connect K and $L^2(\mathcal{M})$ directly by Corollary A.9, so that we do not need any approximation for this part. Thanks to these two connections, we can use weakly compact actions of $L^2(\mathcal{M})$ at the level of H. We note that the notion of condition $(\mathrm{AOC})^+$ in [Is12, Is13] (which looks rather stronger than bi-exactness) works when we connect H with $L^2(\mathcal{M})$ directly. So our Corollary A.9 enables us to assume only bi-exactness for our theorem.

Lemma 4.3. *Assume that $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is bi-exact with a u.c.p. map Θ as in the definition of bi-exactness. Let $\mathcal{M}_0$ be the C*-algebra generated by N_0 and $\mathbb{R} \ltimes X$. Then Θ can be extended to a u.c.p. map*

$$\widetilde{\Theta}\colon \mathrm{C}^*\{\pi_H(\mathcal{M}_0), \theta_H(\mathcal{M}_0)\} \to \mathbb{B}(K)$$

which satisfies, using the flip $\Sigma_{12}\colon K \simeq L^2(N) \otimes L^2_\ell(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^2(X) \otimes L^2_r(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\Sigma_{12}(\widetilde{\Theta}(\pi_H(xa)\theta_H(b^\circ y^\circ)) - \pi_K(xa)\theta_K(b^\circ y^\circ))\Sigma_{12} \in \mathbb{K}(L^2(N)) \otimes_{\min} \mathbb{B}(L^2_\ell(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^2(X) \otimes L^2_r(\mathbb{R})))$$

for any $a, b \in N_0$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R} \ltimes X$.

Proof. By applying flip maps, we identify:

$$\begin{aligned} H &= L^2_\ell(N) \otimes L^2_r(N) \otimes L^2_\ell(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^2(X) \otimes L^2_r(\mathbb{R}), \\ K &= L^2(N) \otimes L^2_\ell(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^2(X) \otimes L^2_r(\mathbb{R}). \end{aligned}$$

We define a u.c.p. map $\widetilde{\Theta}$ by

$$\widetilde{\Theta} := \Theta \otimes \mathrm{id}_{L^2_\ell(\mathbb{R})} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{L^2(X)} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{L^2_r(\mathbb{R})}\colon N_0 \otimes_{\min} N_0^\circ \otimes_{\min} \mathbb{B}(L^2_\ell(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^2(X) \otimes L^2_r(\mathbb{R})) \to \mathbb{B}(K).$$

Observe that by Lemma 4.1, $\pi_H(\mathcal{M}_0)$ and $\rho_H(\mathcal{M}_0)$ are contained in $N_0 \otimes_{\min} N_0^\circ \otimes_{\min} \mathbb{B}(L^2_\ell(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^2(X) \otimes L^2_r(\mathbb{R}))$. Recall that for $a, b \in N$, $\pi_H(a)$ and $\theta_H(b^\circ)$ are given by $\pi_{\sigma^{\varphi_N}}(a)$ on $L^2_\ell(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^2_\ell(N)$ and $\theta_{\sigma^{\varphi_N}}(b^\circ)$ on $L^2_r(N) \otimes L^2_r(\mathbb{R})$. So if a and b are eigenvectors, they are of the form $\pi_H(a) = f \otimes a$ and $\theta_H(b^\circ) = b^\circ \otimes g$ for some $f, g \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$ by Lemma 4.1. It then holds that for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R} \ltimes X$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \widetilde{\Theta}(\pi_H(xa)\theta_H(b^\circ y^\circ)) - \pi_K(xa)\theta_K(b^\circ y^\circ) \\ & \widetilde{\Theta}(\pi_H(x)\pi_H(a)\theta_H(b^\circ)\theta_H(y^\circ)) - \pi_K(x)\pi_K(a)\theta_K(b^\circ)\theta_K(y^\circ) \\ = \ & \widetilde{\Theta}(\pi_H(x)(a \otimes b^\circ \otimes f \otimes 1_{L^2(X)} \otimes g)\theta_H(y^\circ)) - \pi_K(x)(ab^\circ \otimes f \otimes 1_{L^2(X)} \otimes g)\theta_K(y^\circ) \\ = \ & \pi_K(x)((\Theta(a \otimes b^\circ) - ab^\circ) \otimes f \otimes 1_{L^2(X)} \otimes g)\theta_K(y^\circ)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Theta(a \otimes b^\circ) - ab^\circ \in \mathbb{K}(L^2(N))$ and $\pi_K(x), \theta_K(y^\circ) \in \mathbb{C}1_N \otimes_{\min} \mathbb{B}(L^2_\ell(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^2(X) \otimes L^2_r(\mathbb{R}))$, the last term above is contained in $\mathbb{K}(L^2(N)) \otimes_{\min} \mathbb{B}(L^2_\ell(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^2(X) \otimes L^2_r(\mathbb{R})))$. Then by Lemma 4.2, we obtain the conclusion. □

Lemma 4.4. *Let Ω be a state on $\mathbb{B}(K)$ satisfying for any $x \in \widetilde{M}$ and $a \in \mathcal{U}(A)$,*

$$\Omega(\pi_K(\pi(x))) = \mathrm{Tr}(pxp) \quad \textit{and} \quad \Omega(\pi_K(\pi(a)\theta(\bar{a}))) = 1.$$

If $A \not\preceq_{\widetilde{M}} \widetilde{B}$, then using the flip $\Sigma_{12}\colon K \simeq L^2(N) \otimes L^2_\ell(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^2(X) \otimes L^2_r(\mathbb{R})$, it holds that

$$\Omega \circ \mathrm{Ad}(\Sigma_{12})(\mathbb{K}(L^2(N)) \otimes_{\min} \mathbb{B}(L^2_\ell(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^2(X) \otimes L^2_r(\mathbb{R}))) = 0.$$

Proof. Since Ω is a state, by the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we have only to show that $\Omega \circ \mathrm{Ad}(\Sigma_{12})(\mathbb{K}(L^2(N)) \otimes_{\min} \mathbb{C}1_{L^2_\ell(\mathbb{R})\otimes L^2(X)\otimes L^2_r(\mathbb{R})}) = 0$. For notation simplicity, we omit π_K in this proof (and we do not use θ_K).

We follow the proof of [Is13, Lemma 4.4]. Suppose by contradiction that there exist $\delta > 0$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathrm{Irred}(\mathbb{G})$ such that

$$\Omega(1_{L^2_\ell(\mathbb{R})} \otimes P_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes 1_{L^2(X)\otimes L^2_r(\mathbb{R})}) > \delta,$$

where $P_{\mathcal{F}}$ is the orthogonal projection onto $\sum_{x\in\mathcal{F}} H_x \otimes H_{\bar{x}}$. For notation simplicity we put $1_\ell := 1_{L^2_\ell(\mathbb{R})}$ and $1_r := 1_{L^2(X)\otimes L^2_r(\mathbb{R})}$. Observe that for any projection $q \in \widetilde{B}$, with $P := 1_\ell \otimes P_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes 1_r$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\Omega(P) - \Omega(\pi(q)P\pi(q))| &\leq |\Omega((1-\pi(q))P)| + |\Omega(\pi(q)P(1-\pi(q)))| \\ &\leq \|1-\pi(q)\|_{2,\Omega}\|P\|_{2,\Omega} + \|P^*\pi(q)^*\|_{2,\Omega}\|1-\pi(q)\|_{2,\Omega} \\ &\leq \|(1-q)p\|_{2,\mathrm{Tr}}\|P\|_{2,\Omega} + \|P\|_\infty\|(1-q)p\|_{2,\mathrm{Tr}}. \end{aligned}$$

The last term goes to zero if $q \to 1$ strongly. So up to replacing δ with small, there is a Tr-finite projection $q \in \widetilde{B}$ such that, with notation $\Omega_q := \Omega(\pi(q)\,\cdot\,\pi(q))/\Omega(\pi(q))$,

$$\Omega_q(1_\ell \otimes P_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes 1_r) > \delta.$$

We fix this q, and we will find a finite subset $\mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathrm{Irred}(\mathbb{G})$ containing $\mathcal{F}$ such that $\Omega_q(1_\ell \otimes P_{\mathcal{F}_1} \otimes 1_r) > 2^{1/2}\delta$. Then repeating this argument, we can find $\mathcal{F}_k \subset \mathrm{Irred}(\mathbb{G})$ with $\Omega_q(1_\ell \otimes P_{\mathcal{F}_k} \otimes 1_r) > 2^{k/2}\delta$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ which is a contradiction since $\Omega_q(1)$ is finite.

The following claim uses the assumption $A \not\preceq_{\widetilde{M}} \widetilde{B}$.

Claim. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathrm{Irred}(\mathbb{G})$, there is $a \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ and $v \in \widetilde{M}$ such that*

- $\|\theta(\bar{a})\pi(a-v)(1_\ell \otimes P_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes 1_r)\|_{2,\Omega_q} < \varepsilon$;
- *v is a finite sum of ub for some $u \in \mathrm{Irred}(\mathbb{G}) \setminus \mathcal{E}$ and $b \in \widetilde{B}$.*

Proof of Claim. The proof goes very similarly to the one of [Is13, Claim 2-4 in Lemma 4.4]. We include it for reader's convenience.

Let P_e be the orthogonal projection from $L^2(N)$ onto $\mathbb{C}\Lambda_{\varphi_N}(1_N)$. For any $u \in \mathrm{Irred}(\mathbb{G})$, since $u\sigma^{\varphi_N}_t(a)u^* = \sigma^{\varphi_N}_t(uau^*)$ for any $a \in N$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ (because u is an eigenvector), the compression map Φ_u by $1_\ell \otimes P_e u^* \otimes 1_r$ satisfies (e.g. [Is13, Claim 2 in Lemma 4.4])

$$\Phi_u(\lambda_t ab) = \lambda_t \varphi_N(u^*au)b \quad (a \in N, b \in B, t \in \mathbb{R}).$$

In particular Φ_u is a normal conditional expectation from $\mathcal{M}$ onto $\mathbb{R} \ltimes X$, and from $\pi(\widetilde{M})$ onto $\pi(\widetilde{B})$. We regard the second one as a map from $\widetilde{M}$ onto $\widetilde{B}$.

Then for any $u \in \mathrm{Irred}(\mathbb{G})$ and $x \in \widetilde{M}$, with notation $P_u = uP_eu^*$ which is the orthogonal projection from $L^2(N)$ onto $\mathbb{C}\Lambda_{\varphi_N}(u)$, a direct calculation shows that (e.g. [Is13, Claim 3 in Lemma 4.4])

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\pi(x)(1_\ell \otimes P_u \otimes 1_r)\|^2_{2,\Omega_q} \\ = \ & \Omega_q((1_\ell \otimes P_u \otimes 1_r)\pi(x^*x)(1_\ell \otimes P_u \otimes 1_r)) \\ = \ & \Omega_q((1_\ell \otimes u \otimes 1_r)\pi(\Phi_u(x^*x))(1_\ell \otimes u^* \otimes 1_r)) \\ = \ & \Omega_q(\pi(\Phi_u(x^*x))^{1/2}(1_\ell \otimes uu^* \otimes 1_r)\pi(\Phi_u(x^*x))^{1/2}) \\ & \text{(where because } (1_\ell \otimes u \otimes 1_r) \text{ and } \pi(\Phi_u(x^*x)) \text{ are commuting)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \quad \|uu^*\|_\infty \Omega_q(\pi(\Phi_u(x^*x))) = \|uu^*\|_\infty \Omega(\pi(q))^{-1}\mathrm{Tr}(p\Phi_u(qx^*xq)p).$$

Hence we observed the inequality that for any $u \in \mathrm{Irred}(\mathbb{G})$ and $x \in \widetilde{M}$,

$$\|uu^*\|_\infty^{-1}\Omega(\pi(q))\|\pi(x)(1_\ell \otimes P_u \otimes 1_r)\|_{2,\Omega_q}^2 = \mathrm{Tr}(p\Phi_u(qx^*xq)p) =: \|x\|_{2,u}^2.$$

Now we prove the claim by using the last inequality (following [Is13, Claim 4 in Lemma 4.4]). Fix any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathrm{Irred}(\mathbb{G})$. Since $A \not\preceq_{\widetilde{M}} \widetilde{B}$, there is $a \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ such that $\|E_{\widetilde{B}}(w^*a)q\|_{2,\mathrm{Tr}} < \varepsilon$ for any $w \in \mathcal{E}$. Since all the linear spans of $ub\lambda_t$ for $u \in \mathrm{Irred}(\mathbb{G}), b \in B, t \in \mathbb{R}$ is $*$-strongly dense in $\widetilde{M}$, we can find z in this linear span which is very close to a $*$-strongly, so that

$$\|E_{\widetilde{B}}(w^*z)q\|_{2,\mathrm{Tr}} < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|a - z\|_{2,u} < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } w \in \mathcal{E},\ u \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Write $z = \sum_{y\in\mathcal{S}} yb_y$ for some finite subset $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathrm{Irred}(\mathbb{G})$ and some $b_y \in \widetilde{B}$. Then for any $w \in \mathcal{E}$, the first inequality above implies

$$\varepsilon > \|E_{\widetilde{B}}(w^*zq)\|_{2,\mathrm{Tr}} = \|\sum_{y\in\mathcal{S}} \varphi_N(w^*y)b_yq\|_{2,\mathrm{Tr}} = \delta_{w\in\mathcal{S}}\|b_wq\|_{2,\mathrm{Tr}}.$$

Hence if we write $z = \sum_{w\in\mathcal{E}} wb_w + \sum_{y\notin\mathcal{E}} yb_y$, and say v for the second sum, then we have for any $u \in \mathcal{F}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|a - v\|_{2,u} &\leq \|z - v\|_{2,u} + \|a - z\|_{2,u} \\
&< \|\sum_{w\in\mathcal{E}} wb_w\|_{2,u} + \varepsilon \\
&\leq \sum_{w\in\mathcal{E}} \|w\|_\infty \|b_w\|_{2,u} + \varepsilon \\
&= \sum_{w\in\mathcal{E}} \|w\|_\infty \|b_wqp\|_{2,\mathrm{Tr}} + \varepsilon \\
&\leq \sum_{w\in\mathcal{E}} \|w\|_\infty \|b_wq\|_{2,\mathrm{Tr}}\|p\|_\infty + \varepsilon \\
&< C(\mathcal{E}) \cdot \varepsilon,
\end{aligned}$$

where $C(\mathcal{E}) > 0$ is a constant which depends only on $\mathcal{E}$. Hence combined with the inequality in the first half and with the fact $P_\mathcal{F} = \sum_{u\in\mathcal{F}} P_u$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\theta(\bar{a})\pi(a - v)(1_\ell \otimes P_\mathcal{F} \otimes 1_r)\|_{2,\Omega_q} \\
\leq\ & \sum_{u\in\mathcal{F}} \|\pi(a - v)(1_\ell \otimes P_u \otimes 1_r)\|_{2,\Omega_q} \\
=\ & \Omega(\pi(q))^{-1} \sum_{u\in\mathcal{F}} \|uu^*\|_\infty \|a - v\|_{2,u} \\
<\ & D(\mathcal{F}) \cdot C(\mathcal{E}) \cdot \varepsilon,
\end{aligned}$$

where $D(\mathcal{F}) > 0$ is a constant depending only on $\mathcal{F}$. Thus a and v are our desired one. □

We return to the proof. Observe that

$$\|1 - 1_\ell \otimes P_\mathcal{F} \otimes 1_r\|_{2,\Omega_q}^2 = 1 - \|1_\ell \otimes P_\mathcal{F} \otimes 1_r\|_{2,\Omega_q}^2 < 1 - \delta^2$$

and so there is a small $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\|1 - 1_\ell \otimes P_\mathcal{F} \otimes 1_r\|_{2,\Omega_q} + \varepsilon < \sqrt{1 - \delta^2}.$$

We apply Claim above to this $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{F}\overline{\mathcal{F}} = \{z \mid z \in x \otimes \bar{y} \text{ for some } x, y \in \mathcal{F}\}$ (in this set, x, y, z are irreducible corepresentations), and find a and v.

Recall that we assumed $\Omega(\pi(b)\theta(\bar{b})) = 1$ that means $\Omega(T\pi(b)\theta(\bar{b})) = \Omega(T) = \Omega(\pi(b)\theta(\bar{b})T)$ for any $b \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ and $T \in \mathbb{B}(K)$. This particularly implies that

$$\|\pi(b)\theta(\bar{b}) - T\|_{2,\Omega}^2 = 1 + \|T\|_{2,\Omega}^2 - 2\mathrm{Re}(\Omega(T)) = \|1 - T\|_{2,\Omega}^2$$

for all $b \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ and $T \in \mathbb{B}(K)$. With this equality, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|1 - \theta(\bar{a})\pi(v)(1_\ell \otimes P_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes 1_r)\|_{2,\Omega_q} \\ \leq\ & \|1 - \theta(\bar{a})\pi(a)(1_\ell \otimes P_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes 1_r)\|_{2,\Omega_q} + \|\theta(\bar{a})\pi(a - v)(1_\ell \otimes P_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes 1_r)\|_{2,\Omega_q} \\ <\ & \|\theta(a^\circ)\pi(a^*) - (1_\ell \otimes P_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes 1_r)\|_{2,\Omega_q} + \varepsilon \\ =\ & \|1 - (1_\ell \otimes P_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes 1_r)\|_{2,\Omega_q} + \varepsilon < \sqrt{1 - \delta^2}. \end{aligned}$$

By the choice of v, it is written as $\sum_{u \in \mathcal{S}} ub_u$ for some $b_u \in \widetilde{B}$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathrm{Irred}(\mathbb{G})$ with $\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{F}\overline{\mathcal{F}} = \emptyset$. Thus together with Lemma 4.1, it holds that

$$(1_\ell \otimes P_{\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}} \otimes 1_r)\theta(\bar{a})\pi(v)(1_\ell \otimes P_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes 1_r) = \theta(\bar{a})\pi(v)(1_\ell \otimes P_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes 1_r),$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \delta^2 &> \|1 - \theta(\bar{a})\pi(v)(1_\ell \otimes P_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes 1_r)\|_{2,\Omega_q}^2 \\ &= \|(1_\ell \otimes P_{\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}} \otimes 1_r)^\perp\|_{2,\Omega_q}^2 + \|(1_\ell \otimes P_{\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}} \otimes 1_r) - \theta(\bar{a})\pi(v)(1_\ell \otimes P_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes 1_r)\|_{2,\Omega_q}^2 \\ &\geq \|(1_\ell \otimes P_{\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}} \otimes 1_r)^\perp\|_{2,\Omega_q}^2 \\ &= 1 - \|1_\ell \otimes P_{\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}} \otimes 1_r\|_{2,\Omega_q}^2. \end{aligned}$$

We get $\delta < \|1_\ell \otimes P_{\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}} \otimes 1_r\|_{2,\Omega_q}$. Finally putting $\mathcal{F}_1 := \mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}$, since $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{F} = \emptyset$ (because $\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{F}\overline{\mathcal{F}} = \emptyset$ by the choice of $\mathcal{S}$), we obtain

$$\|1_\ell \otimes P_{\mathcal{F}_1} \otimes 1_r\|_{2,\Omega_q}^2 = \|1_\ell \otimes P_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes 1_r\|_{2,\Omega_q}^2 + \|1_\ell \otimes P_{\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}} \otimes 1_r\|_{2,\Omega_q}^2 > 2\delta^2.$$

This is the desired condition. □

Now we are in position to prove the main theorem. We actually prove the following more general theorem, and Theorem A follows immediately from this (with Theorem 3.7).

Theorem 4.5. *Let $A \subset p\widetilde{M}p$ be a von Neumann subalgebra and $\mathcal{G} \leq \mathcal{N}_{p\widetilde{M}p}(A)$ a subgroup. Assume the following three conditions.*

(A) *The group $\mathcal{G}$ acts on A by conjugation as a weakly compact action for $(\widetilde{M}, \pi, \theta, \mathcal{M})$.*

(B) *The quantum group $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ is bi-exact and centrally weakly amenable.*

(C) *We have $A \not\preceq_{\widetilde{M}} \widetilde{B}$.*

Then there is a $(\mathcal{U}(A) \cup \mathcal{G})$-central state on $p\langle \widetilde{M}, \widetilde{B}\rangle p$ which coincides with Tr *on $p\widetilde{M}p$. In particular the von Neumann algebra generated by A and $\mathcal{G}$ is amenable relative to $\widetilde{B}$.*

Proof. By Remark 3.2, we may assume $\mathcal{U}(A) \subset \mathcal{G}$. Recall from Corollary A.9 that as $\mathcal{M}$-bimodules,

$$L^2(\mathcal{M}) \prec L^2(\mathcal{M}) \otimes_{(N \otimes X)} L^2(\mathcal{M}) = K,$$

and we denote by ν the associated $*$-homomorphism. Let $(\xi_i)_i \subset L^2(\mathcal{M})$ be a net for the given weakly compact action of $\mathcal{G}$ and put a state $\Omega(X) := \mathrm{Lim}_i \langle \nu(X)\xi_i, \xi_i\rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{M})}$ on $\mathrm{C}^*\{\pi_K(\mathcal{M}), \theta_K(\mathcal{M}^\circ)\}$. Observe that it satisfies

(i)′ $\Omega(\pi_K(\pi(x))) = \mathrm{Tr}(pxp)$ for any $x \in \widetilde{M}$;

(ii)′ $\Omega(\pi_K(\pi(a)\theta(\bar{a}))) = 1$ for any $a \in \mathcal{U}(A)$;

(iii)′ $\Omega(\pi_K(\pi(u)\theta(\bar{u}))\theta_K(\pi(u^*)^\circ\theta(u^\circ)^\circ)) = 1$ for any $u \in \mathcal{G}$.

Note that since $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{M}}\xi_i = \xi_i$, we also have $\Omega(\theta_K(\pi(x)^\circ)) = \mathrm{Tr}(pxp)$ for any $x \in \widetilde{M}$. Write as $\nu_{K,H}$ the (not necessarily bounded) $*$-homomorphism for $\mathcal{M}$-bimodules H and K. Here we claim that, using the bi-exactness of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$, the functional $\widetilde{\Omega} := \Omega \circ \nu_{K,H}$ satisfies the following boundedness condition.

Claim. *The functional $\widetilde{\Omega}$ is bounded on $\mathrm{C}^*\{\pi_H(\mathcal{M}_0), \theta_H(\mathcal{M}_0^\circ)\}$.*

Proof of Claim. We first extend Ω on $\mathbb{B}(K)$ by the Hahn–Banach theorem. Then by Lemma 4.4, using assumption (C) and conditions (i)′ and (ii)′, one has

$$\Omega \circ \mathrm{Ad}(\Sigma_{12})(\mathbb{K}(L^2(N)) \otimes_{\min} \mathbb{B}(L^2_\ell(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^2(X) \otimes L^2_r(\mathbb{R}))) = 0.$$

Let Θ be a u.c.p. map for bi-exactness of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ and denote by $\widetilde{\Theta}$ the extension given in Lemma 4.3. Define a state on $\mathrm{C}^*\{\pi_H(\mathcal{M}_0), \theta_H(\mathcal{M}_0^\circ)\}$ by $\widehat{\Omega} := \Omega \circ \widetilde{\Theta}$. Then conclusions of Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4 shows that for any $a, b \in N_0$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R} \ltimes X$,

$$\widehat{\Omega}(\pi_H(xa)\theta_H(b^\circ y^\circ)) = \Omega \circ \widetilde{\Theta}(\pi_H(xa)\theta_H(b^\circ y^\circ)) = \Omega(\pi_K(xa)\theta_K(b^\circ y^\circ)).$$

This means that the functional $\widetilde{\Omega}$ coincides with $\widehat{\Omega}$ on $*$-alg$\{\pi_H(\mathcal{M}_0), \theta_H(\mathcal{M}_0^\circ)\}$, and hence it is a state on $\mathrm{C}^*\{\pi_H(\mathcal{M}_0), \theta_H(\mathcal{M}_0^\circ)\}$ since so is $\widehat{\Omega}$. □

We next show that the above boundedness extends partially, using the central weak amenability and a normality of $\widetilde{\Omega}$. This is the second use of the weak amenability. Recall that $\mathcal{M}$ is generated by a copy of $\widetilde{M}$ and $\widetilde{M}^\circ$. We put $\widetilde{M_0} \subset \mathcal{M}_0$ as the C*-subalgebra generated by $\widetilde{B}$ and N_0, and note that Lemma 4.2 is applied to $\widetilde{M_0}$.

Claim. *The functional $\widetilde{\Omega}$ is bounded on*

$$\mathrm{C}^*\{\pi_H(\widetilde{M}), \pi_H(\widetilde{M}^\circ), \theta_H(\widetilde{M}^\circ), \theta_H(\widetilde{M})\} =: \mathfrak{A},$$

where $\theta_H(\widetilde{M})$ should be understood as $\theta_H((\widetilde{M}^\circ)^\circ)$.

Proof of Claim. Let $(\psi_i)_i$ be a net of finite rank normal c.c. maps on N as in Theorem 2.7. Up to convex combinations, we may assume $\psi_i \to \mathrm{id}_N$ in the point $*$-strong topology. For each i we put $\psi_i^\circ := J_N\psi_i(J_N \cdot J_N)J_N$ as a normal c.c. map on N°. For each i, since ψ_i commutes with the modular action, one can define a normal c.c. map on $\mathfrak{A}$ by

$$\Psi_i := \mathrm{id}_{L^2_\ell(\mathbb{R})} \otimes \psi_i \otimes \mathrm{id}_{L^2(X)} \otimes \psi_i^\circ \otimes \mathrm{id}_{L^2_r(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Observe that the restriction of Ψ_i on $\pi_H(\widetilde{M})$ defines a normal c.c. map $\widetilde{\psi}_i \colon \widetilde{M} \to \widetilde{M_0}$ (use Lemma 4.2). The same holds for $\theta_H(\widetilde{M}^\circ)$ and define $\widetilde{\psi}_i^\circ$ similarly. Then with the formula $\|\pi_H(z)\|_{2,\widetilde{\Omega}} = \|zp\|_{2,\mathrm{Tr}} = \|\theta_H(\bar{z})\|_{2,\widetilde{\Omega}}$ for $z \in \widetilde{M}$, it holds that for any $a, b, x, y \in \widetilde{M}$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left|\widetilde{\Omega} \circ \Psi_i(\pi_H(ax^\circ)\theta_H(b^\circ y)) - \widetilde{\Omega}(\pi_H(ax^\circ)\theta_H(b^\circ y))\right| \\
= \; & \left|\widetilde{\Omega}(\pi_H(\widetilde{\psi}_i(a)x^\circ)\theta_H(\widetilde{\psi}_i^\circ(b^\circ)y)) - \widetilde{\Omega}(\pi_H(ax^\circ)\theta_H(b^\circ y))\right| \\
\leq \; & \left|\widetilde{\Omega}(\pi_H(\widetilde{\psi}_i(a) - a)\pi_H(x^\circ)\theta_H(\widetilde{\psi}_i^\circ(b^\circ)y)\right| + \left|\widetilde{\Omega}(\pi_H(ax^\circ)\theta_H(\widetilde{\psi}_i^\circ(b^\circ) - b^\circ)\theta_H(y)\right| \\
\leq \; & \|\pi_H(\widetilde{\psi}_i(a)^* - a^*)\|_{2,\widetilde{\Omega}}\|\pi_H(x)\theta_H(\widetilde{\psi}_i^\circ(b^\circ)y)\|_{2,\widetilde{\Omega}} + \|\theta_H(\widetilde{\psi}_i^\circ(\bar{b}) - \bar{b})\|_{2,\widetilde{\Omega}}\|\pi_H(ax^\circ)\theta_H(y)\|_{2,\widetilde{\Omega}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \|\widetilde{\psi}_i(a)^* - a^*\|_{2,\mathrm{Tr}}\|x\|_\infty\|b\|_\infty\|y\|_\infty + \|\widetilde{\psi}_i(b)^* - b^*\|_{2,\mathrm{Tr}}\|a\|_\infty\|x\|_\infty\|y\|_\infty$$
$$\to 0, \quad \text{as } i \to \infty.$$

Hence $\widetilde{\Omega}\circ\Psi_i$ converges pointwisely to $\widetilde{\Omega}$ on the norm dense $*$-subalgebra $\mathfrak{A}_0 \subset \mathfrak{A}$ generated by $\pi_H(\widetilde{M}), \pi_H(\widetilde{M}^\circ), \theta_H(\widetilde{M}^\circ)$, and $\theta_H(\widetilde{M})$. Observe that $\|\widetilde{\Omega}\circ\Psi_i|_{\mathfrak{A}}\| \leq 1$ for all i, since the range of Ψ_i is contained in $\mathrm{C}^*\{\pi_H(\mathcal{M}_0), \theta_H(\mathcal{M}_0^\circ)\}$ and $\widetilde{\Omega}$ is bounded by one on this C^*-algebra by the previous claim. So we conclude $\|\widetilde{\Omega}|_{\mathfrak{A}}\| \leq 1$, as desired. □

Observe that $\widetilde{\Omega}$ is a state, since it is positive on $\mathfrak{A}_0$ by construction, and $\widetilde{\Omega}(1) = 1$. By the Hahn–Banach theorem, we extend $\widetilde{\Omega}$ from $\mathfrak{A}$ to $\mathbb{B}(H)$ which we still denote by $\widetilde{\Omega}$. By construction, it satisfies that for all $x \in \widetilde{M}$ and $u \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$\widetilde{\Omega}(\pi_H(x)) = \mathrm{Tr}(pxp) \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\Omega}(\pi_H(\pi(u)\theta(\bar{u}))\theta_H(\pi(u^*)^\circ\theta(u^\circ)^\circ)) = 1.$$

Putting $U(u) := \pi_H(\pi(u)\theta(\bar{u}))\theta_H(\pi(u^*)^\circ\theta(u^\circ)^\circ)$, the second condition implies $\widetilde{\Omega}(Y) = \widetilde{\Omega}(U(u)YU(u)^*)$ for any $u \in \mathcal{G}$ and $Y \in \mathbb{B}(H)$. Recall that since $H = L^2(\mathcal{M}) \otimes_X L^2(\mathcal{M})$, regarding $L^2(\mathcal{M})$ as a $\langle\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{R} \ltimes X\rangle$-$X$-bimodule, the basic construction $\langle\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{R} \ltimes X\rangle$ acts on H by left, which we again denote by π_H, whose image commutes with $\theta_H(\mathcal{M}^\circ)$. So if $Y \in \langle\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{R} \ltimes X\rangle \cap \theta(\widetilde{M}^\circ)'$, then

$$\widetilde{\Omega}(\pi_H(Y)) = \widetilde{\Omega}(U(u)\pi_H(Y)U(u)^*) = \widetilde{\Omega}(\pi_H(\pi(u))\pi_H(Y)\pi_H(\pi(u))^*)$$

for any $u \in \mathcal{G}$. So the state $\widetilde{\Omega}\circ\pi_H$ is a $\mathcal{G}$-central state on $\langle\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{R} \ltimes X\rangle \cap \theta(\widetilde{M}^\circ)'$. Finally since $\widetilde{M}L^2(\mathbb{R} \ltimes X) \subset L^2(\mathcal{M})$ is dense, the von Neumann subalgebra in $\langle\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{R} \ltimes X\rangle \cap \theta(\widetilde{M}^\circ)'$ generated by $\widetilde{M}$ and $e_{\mathbb{R}\ltimes X} := 1_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \otimes P_N \otimes 1_X$, where P_N is the 1-dim projection onto $\mathbb{C}\Lambda_{\varphi_N}(1_N)$, is canonically identified as $\langle\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{B}\rangle$ (by the fact that $e_{\mathbb{R}\ltimes X}\, a\, e_{\mathbb{R}\ltimes X} = E_{\widetilde{B}}(a)e_{\mathbb{R}\ltimes X}$ for $a \in \widetilde{M}$). Thus the restriction of $\widetilde{\Omega}\circ\pi_H$ on $\langle\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{B}\rangle$ is a $\mathcal{G}$-central state, which coincides with Tr on $p\widetilde{M}p$. By Remark A.7, the von Neumann algebra generated by $\mathcal{G}$ is amenable relative to $\widetilde{B}$ in $\widetilde{M}$. □

5 Proof of Corollary B

Proof of Corollary B. Put $M := N \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} B \supset N_0 \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} B =: M_0$ and suppose that $A \subset M_0$ is a Cartan subalgebra. We will deduce a contradiction. For this, let R_∞ be the AFD III_1 factor and $A_0 \subset R_\infty$ a Cartan subalgebra. Up to exchanging B and A with $B \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} R_\infty$ and $A \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} A_0$ respectively, we assume that B is a type III_1 factor (e.g. Lemma 2.2).

Let ψ_{N_0} and τ_A be faithful normal states on N_0 and A respectively, and E_{N_0} and E_A faithful normal conditional expectations from N to N_0 and from M_0 to A respectively. Put $\psi_A := \tau_A \circ E_A$, $\psi_N := \psi_{N_0} \circ E_{N_0}$, $\psi := \psi_N \otimes \varphi_B$, $\varphi := h \otimes \varphi_B$ and $E_{M_0} := E_{N_0} \otimes \mathrm{id}_B$. Then since all continuous cores are isomorphic, we have $\Pi_{\psi_A\circ E_{M_0},\psi} \colon C_\psi(M) \to C_{\psi_A\circ E_{M_0}}(M)$, which restricts to $\Pi_{\psi_A,\psi_{N_0}\otimes\varphi_B} \colon C_{\psi_{N_0}\otimes\varphi_B}(M_0) \to C_{\psi_A}(M_0)$. Recall that $A \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} L\mathbb{R} \subset C_{\psi_A}(M_0)$ is a Cartan subalgebra (e.g. [HR10, Proposition 2.6]) and hence so is the image $\widetilde{A} := \Pi_{\varphi,\psi_A\circ E_{N_0}}(A \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}} L\mathbb{R}) \subset \Pi_{\varphi,\psi_A\circ E_{N_0}}(C_{\psi_A}(M_0)) =: \mathcal{N}$.

Claim. *There is a conditional expectation $E \colon \langle C_\varphi(M), C_{\varphi_B}(B)\rangle \to \mathcal{N}$.*

Proof. We first show $A \not\preceq_M B$. Indeed, if $A \preceq_M B$, then we have $A \preceq_{M_0} B$ by Lemma 2.10. So by [HI15, Lemma 4.9], one has $N_0 = B' \cap M_0 \preceq_{M_0} A' \cap M_0 = A$, which is a contradiction. Hence we have $A \not\preceq_M B$.

We apply [BHR12, Proposition 2.10] (this holds if A is finite by exactly the same proof) and get $\widetilde{A} \not\preceq_{C_{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\widetilde{M})} C_{\varphi_{\widetilde{B}}}(\widetilde{B})$. Fix any projection $p \in \widetilde{A}$ with $\mathrm{Tr}(p) < \infty$, where Tr

is the canonical trace on the core, and observe $p\widetilde{A}p \not\preceq_{C_{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\widetilde{M})} C_{\varphi_{\widetilde{B}}}(\widetilde{B})$ by definition. We apply Theorem A to $p\widetilde{A}p$ and obtain that $\mathcal{N}_{pC_\varphi(M)p}(p\widetilde{A}p)''$ is amenable relative to $C_{\varphi_B}(B)$. Observe that $\mathcal{N}_{pC_\varphi(M)p}(p\widetilde{A}p)'' = p(\mathcal{N}_{C_\varphi(M)}(\widetilde{A})'')p$ (e.g [HR10, Proposition 2.7]). In particular, we have that $p\mathcal{N}p$ is amenable relative to $C_{\varphi_B}(B)$, that is, there is a conditional expectation $E_p\colon p\langle C_\varphi(M), C_{\varphi_B}(B)\rangle p \to p\mathcal{N}p$ which restricts to the Tr-preserving expectation on $pC_\varphi(M)p$. So taking a net $(p_i)_i$ of Tr-finite projections converging to 1 weakly, one can construct a desired conditional expectation by $E(x) := \sigma\text{-weakLim}_i E_{p_i}(p_i x p_i)$ for $x \in \langle C_\varphi(M), C_{\varphi_B}(B)\rangle$. □

Observe that $\Pi_{\psi,\varphi}$ satisfies $\Pi_{\psi,\varphi}(C_{\varphi_B}(B)) = C_{\varphi_B}(B))$ and

$$\Pi_{\psi,\varphi}(\mathcal{N}) = \Pi_{\psi,\varphi} \circ \Pi_{\varphi,\psi_A\circ E_{N_0}}(C_{\psi_A}(M_0)) = \Pi_{\psi,\psi_A\circ E_{N_0}}(C_{\psi_A}(M_0)) = C_{\psi_0\otimes\varphi_B}(M_0).$$

So identifying by $\Pi_{\psi,\varphi}$, the expectation E in the claim induces an expectation

$$\widetilde{E}\colon \langle C_\psi(M), C_{\varphi_B}(B)\rangle = \langle \Pi_{\psi,\varphi}(C_\varphi(M)), \Pi_{\psi,\varphi}(C_{\varphi_B}(B))\rangle \to \Pi_{\psi,\varphi}(\mathcal{N}) = C_{\psi_0\otimes\varphi_B}(M_0).$$

Now we realize $C_\psi(M) \subset \mathbb{B}(L^2(M)\otimes L^2(\mathbb{R}))$ in such a way that

$$M \ni x \mapsto x\otimes 1_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \in C_\psi(M), \quad L\mathbb{R} \ni \lambda_t \mapsto \Delta_\psi^{it}\otimes\lambda_t \in C_\psi(M).$$

Observe that $\langle C_\psi(M), C_{\varphi_B}(B)\rangle$ contains $\mathbb{B}(L^2(N))\otimes\mathbb{C}1_{L^2(B)}\otimes\mathbb{C}1_{L\mathbb{R}}$, for which N is contained in $\mathbb{B}(L^2(N))$. Finally the restriction of $\widetilde{E}$ on $\mathbb{B}(L^2(N))\otimes\mathbb{C}1_{L^2(B)}\otimes\mathbb{C}1_{L\mathbb{R}}$, composing with a conditional expectation from $C_{\psi_{N_0}\otimes\varphi_B}(M_0)$ onto N_0 (e.g. [BO08, Lemma 9.3.6]), gives a conditional expectation from $\mathbb{B}(L^2(N))$ onto N_0. Thus we obtain that N_0 is amenable and a contradiction. □

A Appendix: Relative amenability inside general von Neumann algebras

In this appendix section, we establish relative amenability for general von Neumann algebras. Our goal is to obtain Corollary A.9 and Lemma A.10.

A.1 Preliminaries

This subsection is a continuation of Subsection 2.2. We recall some known facts.

Spatial derivative

For spacial derivative, we refer the reader to [Co78] and [Ta01, IX.§3].

Let M and N be von Neumann algebras and H be an M-N bimodule with left and right actions π and θ. Assume $\pi(M) = \theta(N^\circ)'$. Let φ and ψ be faithful normal semifinite weights on M and N respectively and we put $\psi^\circ(x^\circ) := \psi(x)$ for $x \in N^+$. The *spatial derivative* of φ relative to ψ° is a positive self-adjoint non-singular operator on H, denoted by $d\varphi/d\psi^\circ$, satisfying

$$\left(\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi^\circ}\right)^{it} \pi(x) \left(\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi^\circ}\right)^{-it} = \pi(\sigma_t^\varphi(x)) \quad (x \in M),$$
$$\left(\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi^\circ}\right)^{it} \theta(y^\circ) \left(\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi^\circ}\right)^{-it} = \theta(\sigma_{-t}^{\psi^\circ}(y^\circ)) = \theta(\sigma_t^\psi(y)^\circ) \quad (y \in N).$$

We note that these two conditions do not determine the spacial derivative uniquely. So strictly speaking, to give a correct definition, one has to follow a construction of the spacial derivative which we omit in this paper. See [Ta01, Definition IX.3.6].

The square root $(d\varphi/d\psi^\circ)^{1/2}$ is essentially self-adjoint on

$$D_{\varphi,\psi}(H) := \{\xi \in D(H,\psi) \mid \varphi(L_\xi L_\xi^*) < \infty\}$$

and satisfies

$$\left\langle \left(\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi^\circ}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi, \left(\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi^\circ}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \eta \right\rangle_H = \varphi(L_\xi L_\eta^*) \quad (\xi,\eta \in D_{\varphi,\psi}(H))$$

[Ta01, Theorem IX.3.8]. By the explicit construction of $d\varphi/d\psi^\circ$, we know that $D_{\varphi,\psi}(H)$ and $(d\varphi/d\psi^\circ)^{1/2} D_{\varphi,\psi}(H)$ are dense in H. In fact, $d\varphi/d\psi^\circ$ is realized as a matrix coefficient of a modular operator and $D_{\varphi,\psi}(H)$ is a domain of the matrix coefficient. It is easy to verify that

$$\left(\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi^\circ}\right)^{it} L_\xi \Delta_\psi^{-it} = L_{(\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi^\circ})^{it}\xi} \qquad (\xi \in D(H,\psi), t \in \mathbb{R})$$

and hence

$$\left(\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi^\circ}\right)^{it} D(H,\psi) \subset D(H,\psi), \qquad \left(\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi^\circ}\right)^{it} D_{\varphi,\psi}(H) \subset D_{\varphi,\psi}(H).$$

Set

$$\mathfrak{J}_{\varphi,\psi} := \operatorname{span}\{L_\xi L_\eta^* \in M \mid \xi,\eta \in D_{\varphi,\psi}(H)\}.$$

Then $\mathfrak{J}_{\varphi,\psi}$ is a σ-weakly dense $*$-subalgebra in M [Ta01, Lemma IX.3.9]. Since it is globally invariant under (σ_t^φ), $\mathfrak{J}_{\varphi,\psi}$ is L^2-dense in $L^2(M,\varphi)$ by [ILP96, Lemma 2.1].

Proposition A.1 ([Sa81, Proposition 3.1])**.** *Let $\overline{H}$ be the conjugate Hilbert space of H with an N-M-bimodule structure given by*

$$\pi_{\overline{H}}(a)\theta_{\overline{H}}(b^\circ)\overline{\xi} := \overline{\pi(b^*)\theta((a^*)^\circ)\xi}, \quad \text{for } a \in N,\ b \in M,\ \xi \in H.$$

Then one can define the following M-M-bimodule unitary map:

$$U\colon L^2(M,\varphi) \to H \otimes_N \overline{H};\ U\Lambda_\varphi(L_\xi L_\eta^*) = \xi \otimes_N \overline{\left(\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi^\circ}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \eta},$$

where $\xi,\eta \in D_{\varphi,\psi}(H)$. In particular, $H \otimes_N \overline{H}$ is a standard representation of M.

Proof. We put $d := d\varphi/d\psi$ for simplicity. We first claim that

$$\varphi(L_\xi x L_\eta^*) = \langle \theta(x^\circ) d^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi, d^{\frac{1}{2}}\eta\rangle, \quad \xi,\eta \in D_{\varphi,\psi}(H) \text{ and } x \in N.$$

To see this, since both sides of the equation is normal and bounded for $x \in N$, we may assume x is analytic for (σ_t^ψ) (e.g. [Ta01, Lemma VIII.2.3]). We have

$$\varphi(L_\xi x L_\eta^*) = \varphi(L_{\theta(\sigma^\psi_{i/2}(x)^\circ)\xi} L_\eta^*) = \langle d^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta(\sigma^\psi_{\frac{i}{2}}(x)^\circ)\xi, d^{\frac{1}{2}}\eta\rangle.$$

Since $\xi \in D(d^{1/2})$ and x is analytic, by [Ta01, Lemma VI.2.3] we can define a map

$$f\colon \overline{D}_{\frac{1}{2}} \to H;\ \alpha \mapsto \theta(\sigma^\psi_{\frac{i}{2}+\alpha}(x)^\circ) d^{i\alpha}\xi,$$

which is bounded continuous on $\overline{D}_{1/2}$ and holomorphic on $D_{1/2}$, where $D_{1/2}$ is the horizontal strip bounded by $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R} - i/2$. In the case $\alpha = t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\theta(\sigma^{\psi}_{\frac{i}{2}+t}(x)^{\circ})d^{it}\xi = d^{it}\theta(\sigma^{\psi}_{\frac{i}{2}}(x)^{\circ})\xi,$$

and hence the right hand side of the equation has a holomophic extension. Thus by (the proof of) [Ta01, Lemma VI.2.3], one may directly put $t = -i/2$ to this equation and get

$$\theta(x^{\circ})d^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi = d^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta(\sigma^{\psi}_{\frac{i}{2}}(x)^{\circ})\xi.$$

This proves the claim.

Next we show U is a well-defined unitary map. For this, take $\xi_i, \eta_i \in D_{\varphi,\psi}(H)$ $(i = 1, \dots, n)$ and calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Lambda_{\varphi}(\sum_i L_{\xi_i}L_{\eta_i}^*)\|^2_{2,\varphi} &= \sum_{i,j}\langle\Lambda_{\varphi}(L_{\xi_i}L_{\eta_i}^*), \Lambda_{\varphi}(L_{\xi_j}L_{\eta_j}^*)\rangle_{\varphi} \\
&= \sum_{i,j}\varphi(L_{\eta_j}XL_{\eta_i}^*) \qquad (\text{where } X := L_{\xi_j}^*L_{\xi_i} \in N) \\
&= \sum_{i,j}\langle\theta(X^{\circ})\zeta_j, \zeta_i\rangle_H \qquad (\text{where } \zeta_i := d^{\frac{1}{2}}\eta_i) \\
&= \sum_{i,j}\langle\overline{\zeta_i}, \overline{\theta(X^{\circ})\zeta_j}\rangle_{\overline{H}} \\
&= \sum_{i,j}\langle\pi_{\overline{H}}(L_{\xi_j}^*L_{\xi_i})\overline{\zeta}_i, \overline{\zeta}_j\rangle_{\overline{H}} \\
&= \sum_{i,j}\langle\xi_i \otimes_N \overline{\zeta}_i, \xi_j \otimes_N \overline{\zeta}_j\rangle_{H\otimes_N\overline{H}} \\
&= \|\sum_i \xi_i \otimes_N \overline{\zeta}_i\|^2_{H\otimes_N\overline{H}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus U is a well-defined unitary map. Obviously it gives a left M-module map. Finally let $x \in M$ be an analytic element, and calculate that

$$\begin{aligned}
UJ_{\varphi}x^*J_{\varphi}\Lambda_{\varphi}(L_{\xi}L_{\eta}^*) &= U\Lambda_{\varphi}(L_{\xi}L_{\eta}^*\sigma^{\varphi}_{-\frac{i}{2}}(x)) \\
&= U\Lambda_{\varphi}(L_{\xi}(\sigma^{\varphi}_{\frac{i}{2}}(x^*)L_{\eta})^*) \\
&= \xi \otimes_N \overline{d^{\frac{1}{2}}\pi(\sigma^{\varphi}_{\frac{i}{2}}(x^*))\eta} \\
&= \xi \otimes_N \overline{\pi(x^*)d^{\frac{1}{2}}\eta} \qquad (\text{by [Ta01, Lemma VI.2.3]}) \\
&= \xi \otimes_N \theta_{\overline{H}}(x^{\circ})\overline{d^{\frac{1}{2}}\eta} \\
&= \theta_{\overline{H}}(x^{\circ})U\Lambda_{\varphi}(L_{\xi}L_{\eta}^*).
\end{aligned}$$

Since analytic elements are dense in M, $\operatorname{Ad} U$ is an M-M-bimodule map. □

Correspondences

Let M and N be *σ-finite* von Neumann algebras and let X be a self-dual M-N-correspondence (i.e. a Hilbert N-module with a normal left M-action, see [Pa73, Section 3] for self-duality and normality). Then the *interior tensor product* (e.g. [La95, Section

4]) $H(X) := X \otimes_N L^2(N)$ is an M-N-bimodule. Conversely if H is an M-N-bimodule, then one can define a self-dual M-N-correspondence

$$X(H) := \{T\colon L^2(N) \to H \mid \text{bounded, } N^\circ\text{-module linear map}\}.$$

They in fact give a one-to-one correspondence between M-N-bimodules and self-dual M-N-correspondences, up to unitary equivalences (see [BDH88, Theorem 2.2] and [Ri74, Proposition 6.10]). By [AD88, §1.12 PROPOSITION], $K \prec H$ if and only if $X(K) \prec X(H)$ in the following sense: for any σ-weak neighborhood $\mathcal{V}$ of $0 \in N$, finite subsets $\mathcal{E} \subset M$ and $\mathcal{F} \subset N$, and any $\xi \in X(K)$, there are vectors $(\eta_i)_{i=1}^n \subset X(H)$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \langle \eta_i, x\eta_i y\rangle_{X(H)} - \langle \xi, x\xi y\rangle_{X(K)} \in \mathcal{V} \quad (x \in \mathcal{E},\ y \in \mathcal{F}).$$

Suppose that $M = N$, $L^2(M) = K$, and $M = X(K)$. Then if $L^2(M) \prec H$, putting $\xi := 1_M$, for any finite subset $\mathcal{E} \subset M$ and for any σ-weak neighborhood $\mathcal{V}$ of $0 \in N$, there are vectors $(\eta_i)_{i=1}^n \subset X(H)$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \langle \eta_i, x\eta_i\rangle_{X(H)} - x \in \mathcal{V} \quad (x \in \mathcal{E}).$$

So putting $\psi_{(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{V})}(x) := \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \eta_i, x\eta_i\rangle_{X(H)}$ for $x \in M$, we find a net $(\psi_i)_i$ such that each ψ_i is given by a sum of compression maps by vectors in $X(H)$ and that it converges to id_M in the point σ-weak topology. In this case, up to replacing η_i, we may assume that each ψ_i is a contraction [AH88, Lemma 2.2]. Then it is known that the existence of such a net is equivalent to $L^2(M) \prec H$ as follows, although we do not need this equivalence. See [AH88, Proposition 2.4] for a more general statement.

Proposition A.2. *Let M be a σ-finite von Neumann algebra and H an M-bimodule. Then $L^2(M) \prec H$ as M-bimodules if and only if there is a net $(\psi_i)_i$ of normal c.c.p. maps on M, which converges to id_M point σ-weakly, such that each ψ_i is a finite sum of $\langle \eta, \cdot\, \eta\rangle_{X(H)}$ for some $\eta \in X(H)$.*

We also observe the following lemma used in the proof of Lemma A.10.

Lemma A.3. *Let $B \subset M$ be an inclusion of σ-finite von Neumann algebras with an operator valued weight E_B. Then the vector space $\mathfrak{n}_{E_B}$ is a pre-Hilbert B-module with the inner product $\langle x, y\rangle := E_B(x^*y)$ for $x, y \in \mathfrak{n}_{E_B}$, and its self-dual completion $\overline{\mathfrak{n}_{E_B}}$ is an M-B-correspondence.*

Let X be the self-dual completion of the interior tensor product $\overline{\mathfrak{n}_{E_B}} \otimes_B M$. Then as an M-M-correspondence, X is the unique one corresponding to the M-bimodule $L^2(M) \otimes_B L^2(M)$, using the one-to-one correspondence above.

Proof. It is easy to see that the inner product on $\mathfrak{n}_{E_B}$ in the statement is well-defined as a map from $\mathfrak{n}_{E_B} \times \mathfrak{n}_{E_B}$ to B, and it satisfies $\langle x, y\rangle^* = \langle y, x\rangle$, $\langle x, ya\rangle = \langle y, x\rangle a$, and $\langle x, x\rangle \geq 0$ for $x, y \in \mathfrak{n}_{E_B}$ and $a \in B$. Hence $\mathfrak{n}_{E_B}$ is a pre-Hilbert B-module. Since M acts faithfully by left on $\mathfrak{n}_{E_B}$, so does on the self-dual completion (e.g. [Pa73, Corollary 3.7]). This left M-action is normal, since the functional $M \ni x \mapsto \omega(\langle \xi, x\eta\rangle)$ is normal for all $\omega \in M_*$ and $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{n}_{E_B}$, and hence for all $\xi, \eta \in \overline{\mathfrak{n}_{E_B}}$ by [Pa75, Lemma 2.3]. Thus $\overline{\mathfrak{n}_{E_B}}$ is an M-B-correspondence.

Let X be as in the statement. Then as in the first paragraph, it is easy to see that it is really an M-M-correspondence (i.e. the left M-action is well-defined, injective, and normal). Let us fix faithful normal states φ_B and φ on B and M respectively. Then the interior tensor product $X \otimes_M L^2(M, \varphi)$ is canonically identified as $L^2(M, \varphi_B \circ E_M) \otimes_B L^2(M, \varphi)$, so that X is identified as $X(L^2(M) \otimes_B L^2(M))$. □

A.2 Relative amenability

In this subsection, we establish relative amenability for general von Neumann algebras. Following [OP07] and [PV11], we use the following two definitions for general von Neumann algebras.

Definition A.4. Let $B \subset M$ be von Neumann algebras, $p \in M$ a projection and $A \subset pMp$ a von Neumann subalgebra with expectation E_A. We say that the pair (A, E_A) *is amenable relative to* B *in* M, and write as $(A, E_A) \preccurlyeq_M B$, if there exists a conditional expectation from $p\langle M, B\rangle p$ onto A which restricts to E_A on pMp.

Definition A.5. Let B and M be von Neumann algebras and $A \subset M$ a von Neumann subalgebra with expectation E_A. Let $H = {}_M H_B$ be an M-B-bimodule. We say that ${}_M H_B$ is *left* (A, E_A)*-amenable* if there exists a conditional expectation from $(B^\circ)' \cap \mathbb{B}(H)$ onto A which restricts to E_A on M.

We note that in Definition A.4, $(A, E_A) \preccurlyeq_M B$ if and only if the pMp-B-bimodule $pL^2(M)$ is left (A, E_A)-amenable. So the second notion is more general.

We next observe well-known characterizations of relative amenability, which are generalizations of a part of [Co75, Theorem 5.1]. For finite von Neumann algebras, they are observed in [OP07, Theorem 2.1] and [PV11, Proposition 2.4]. Our proofs here are exactly the same as of them, but we include proofs for reader's convenience. We note that in the theorem below, one can put $N = (B^\circ)' \cap \mathbb{B}(H)$ and so the condition (2) below include relative amenability.

Theorem A.6. *Let $A \subset M$ be σ-finite von Neumann algebras with expectation E_A, and let N be a von Neumann algebra containing M. Assume that A is finite. We fix a trace τ_A on A and put $\psi := \tau_A \circ E_A$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

(1) *There is an A-central state $\widetilde{\psi}$ on N such that $\widetilde{\psi}\,|_M = \psi$.*

(2) *There is a conditional expectation from N onto A, which restricts to E_A on M.*

(3) *There is a net $(\xi_i)_i$ of unit vectors in the positive cone of $L^2(N)$ such that*

$$\langle x\xi_i, \xi_i\rangle \to \psi(x) \quad (x \in M), \qquad \|uJ_N uJ_N \xi_i - \xi_i\|_2 \to 0 \quad (u \in \mathcal{U}(A)),$$

where J_N is the modular conjugation for $L^2(N)$.

Proof. We give sketches of the proofs since they are the same as of [OP07, Theorem 2.1].

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Using the A-centrality, we have

$$|\widetilde{\psi}(ax)| \le \|a\|_{1,\tau_A}\|x\|_\infty \qquad (a \in A, x \in N).$$

For any $x \in N$, define a map $T_x \colon A \to \mathbb{C}$ by $T_x(a) := \widetilde{\psi}(ax)$. This is bounded on $L^1(A, \tau_A)$ and so there is a unique element $\Phi(x) \in A$ such that $\tau_A(\Phi(x)a) = \widetilde{\psi}(xa)$ for all $a \in A$. This Φ is a desired conditional expectation.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Compose ψ with the given conditional expectation.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (3) Let $(\psi_i)_i$ be a net of normal states on N converging to $\widetilde{\psi}$ weakly. This satisfies that for any $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$, the net $(u\psi_i u^* - \psi_i)_i$ converges to zero weakly, where $u\psi_i u^*(x) := \psi_i(u^*xu)$ for $x \in N$. So by the Hahn–Banach separation theorem, one has that, up to replacing with convex combinations, the net $(u\psi_i u^* - \psi_i)_i$ converges to zero in the norm topology of N_* for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$. For each i, let ξ_i be the unit vector in the positive cone of $L^2(N)$ such that the vector state of ξ_i is ψ_i. Then the Powers–Størmer inequality [Ta01, Theorem IX.1.2(iv)] shows that

$$\|uJ_N uJ_N \xi_i - \xi_i\|_2^2 \le \|u\psi_i u^* - \psi_i\|_{N_*} \to 0 \qquad (u \in \mathcal{U}(A)).$$

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Define a state on N by $\widetilde{\psi}(x) := \mathrm{Lim}_i \langle x\xi_i, \xi_i\rangle$. $\square$

Remark A.7. Keep the notation as in Theorem A.6 and let $\mathcal{G} \leq \mathcal{U}(A)$ be a subgroup which generates A as a von Neumann algebra. If there is a $\mathcal{G}$-central state ω on N which is normal on M, then ω is A-central. Indeed, for any $x \in N$ and any $a_0 \in \mathrm{span}\{\mathcal{G}\}$, one has $\omega(a_0 x) = \omega(x a_0)$, and hence for any $a \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ with bounded net $(a_i) \subset \mathrm{span}\{\mathcal{G}\}$ converging to a $*$-strongly,

$$|\omega(x(a_i - a))| \leq \|x^*\|_{2,\omega}\|a_i - a\|_{2,\omega} \to 0, \quad |\omega((a_i - a)x)| \leq \|a_i^* - a^*\|_{2,\omega}\|x\|_{2,\omega} \to 0.$$

This shows that $\omega(ax) = \omega(xa)$ holds.

Theorem A.8. *Let B, M, A, E_A and ${}_M H_B$ as in Definition A.5 and consider the following conditions.*

(1) *The bimodule ${}_M H_B$ is left (A, E_A)-amenable.*

(2) *We have ${}_M L^2(M)_A \prec {}_M H \otimes_B \overline{H}_A$.*

(3) *There is a B-A-bimodule K such that ${}_M L^2(M)_A \prec {}_M H \otimes_B K_A$.*

Then we have (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1). *If we further assume A is finite and σ-finite, then* (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) *holds true.*

Proof. We follow proofs of [PV11, Proposition 2.4].

The implication (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) is trivial and we first prove (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Put $\widetilde{K} := H \otimes_B K$ with left and right actions π and θ. Let ν be the bounded $*$-homomorphism from $\mathrm{C}^*\{\pi(M), \theta(A^\circ)\} \subset \mathbb{B}(\widetilde{K})$ into $\mathrm{C}^*\{M, A^\circ\} \subset \mathbb{B}(L^2(M))$, sending $\pi(M)$ and $\theta(A^\circ)$ to M and A° respectively. We extend ν on $\mathrm{C}^*\{\pi((B^\circ)' \cap \mathbb{B}(H)), \theta(A^\circ)\} \subset \mathbb{B}(\widetilde{K})$ as a u.c.p. map by Arveson's extension theorem. Define $\Phi\colon (B^\circ)' \cap \mathbb{B}(H) \to \mathbb{B}(L^2(A))$ by $\Phi(x) := e_A \nu(\pi(x)) e_A$, where e_A is the Jones projection for E_A. Obviously $\Phi|_M = E_A$. We have to show that $\mathrm{Im}\Phi \subset A$. For this, we actually show that $\mathrm{Im}\Phi$ commutes with A°. For any $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ and $x \in (B^\circ)' \cap \mathbb{B}(H)$, since $\theta(u)$ is contained in the multiplicative domain of ν (e.g. [BO08, Proposition 1.5.7]), we have

$$\nu(\pi(x))\nu(\theta(u^\circ)) = \nu(\pi(x)\theta(u^\circ)) = \nu(\theta(u^\circ)\pi(x)) = \nu(\theta(u^\circ))\nu(\pi(x))$$

and hence

$$\Phi(x)u^\circ = e_A\nu(\pi(x))e_A u^\circ = e_A\nu(\pi(x))u^\circ e_A = e_A u^\circ \nu(\pi(x))e_A = u^\circ \Phi(x).$$

Thus Φ is a desired conditional expectation.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Assume A is finite with a faithful normal trace τ_A and put $\psi := \tau_A \circ E_A$. We apply Theorem A.6 for $N := (B^\circ)' \cap \mathbb{B}(H)$, and get a net of unit vectors $(\xi_i)_i \in L^2(N)$ such that $\langle x\xi_i, \xi_i\rangle \to \psi(x)$ for all $x \in M$ and $\|uJuJ\xi_i - \xi_i\|_2 \to 0$ for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$, where J is the modular conjugation for $L^2(N)$. Observe by Proposition A.1

$$L^2(N) = L^2((B^\circ)' \cap \mathbb{B}(H)) \simeq H \otimes_B \overline{H}$$

as N-bimodules, and hence as M-bimodules. We regard $(\xi_i)_i$ as vectors in $H \otimes_B \overline{H}$. Then the second condition on $(\xi_i)_i$ means for any $a \in \mathcal{U}(A)$

$$\|(a \otimes_B 1)\xi_i - (1 \otimes_B \overline{\theta}(a^\circ))\xi_i\|_2 = \|(a \otimes_B \overline{\theta}((a^\circ)^*))\xi_i - \xi_i\|_2 \to 0,$$

where $\overline{\theta}$ is the right action of M on $\overline{H}$. Using the first condition on $(\xi_i)_i$ together, we obtain

$$\langle (x \otimes_B \overline{\theta}(a^\circ))\xi_i, \xi_i\rangle \to \psi(xa) = \langle xa^\circ\xi_\psi, \xi_\psi\rangle_\psi \qquad (x \in M, a \in A),$$

where $\xi_\psi \in L^2(M,\psi)$ is the canonical cyclic vector. This shows that $L^2(M,\psi) \prec H \otimes_B \overline{H}$ as M-A bimodules. In fact, this condition implies that the functional

$$*\text{-alg}\{M \otimes_B 1, 1 \otimes_B \overline{\theta}(A^\circ)\} \to \mathbb{C};\ \sum_{\text{finite}} x \otimes_B \overline{\theta}(a^\circ) \mapsto \langle \sum_{\text{finite}} xa^\circ \xi_\psi, \xi_\psi \rangle_\psi$$

is bounded on $\mathrm{C}^*\{M \otimes_B 1, 1 \otimes_B \overline{\theta}(A^\circ)\} \subset \mathbb{B}(H \otimes_B \overline{H})$. Since ξ_ψ is cyclic for $\mathrm{C}^*\{M, A^\circ\}$, by the uniqueness of the GNS representation, we get the boundedness of the $*$-homomorphism for ${}_M L^2(M)_A \prec {}_M H \otimes_B \overline{H}_A$. □

Using above characterizations, we give a useful statement for crossed products with amenable groups. We note that any continuous core satisfies assumptions in this statement. We will use this result in the next subsection, and also in Section 4.

Corollary A.9. *Let G be an amenable locally compact second countable group acting on a von Neumann algebra M. Then we have $(M \rtimes G, \mathrm{id}) \preccurlyeq_{M \rtimes G} M$.*

In particular if $M \rtimes G$ is semifinite, we have

$${}_{M\rtimes G}L^2(M \rtimes G)_{M\rtimes G} \prec {}_{M\rtimes G}L^2(M \rtimes G) \otimes_M L^2(M \rtimes G)_{M\rtimes G}.$$

Proof. Let u_g be a unitary for $g \in G$ implementing the G-action. Recall that

$$M \rtimes G = (M^\circ \otimes 1)' \cap \{u_g \otimes \rho_g \mid g \in G\}', \quad \langle M \rtimes G, M \rangle = (M^\circ \otimes 1)',$$

where ρ is the right regular representation. Since G is amenable, there is positive functionals $(f_n)_n \subset L^1(G)$ with $\|f_n\|_1 = 1$, satisfying $\lambda_g f_n - f_n \to 0$ weakly for all $g \in G$. For each n, define a positive map $F_n \colon \mathbb{B}(L^2(M) \otimes L^2(G)) \to \mathbb{B}(L^2(M) \otimes L^2(G))$ by

$$F_n(T) := \int_G (u_g \otimes \rho_g)\, T\, (u_g \otimes \rho_g)^* f_n(g) \cdot dg.$$

Since $\|F_n\| = 1$, we can take a cluster point of $(F_n)_n$, which we write as F. Then it satisfies $(u_g \otimes \rho_g)F(T)(u_g \otimes \rho_g)^* = F(T)$ for all $g \in G$ and hence F is a conditional expectation onto $\{u_g \otimes \rho_g \mid g \in G\}'$. It is easy to see that $F(T) \in (M^\circ \otimes 1)'$ for any $T \in (M^\circ \otimes 1)'$. Hence F restricts to a conditional expectation from $\langle M \rtimes G, M \rangle$ onto $M \rtimes G$. We obtain $(M \rtimes G, \mathrm{id}) \preccurlyeq_{M \rtimes G} M$.

Next assume that $M \rtimes G$ is semifinite with a semifinite trace Tr. For any Tr-finite projection $p \in M \rtimes G$, we know $(p(M \rtimes G)p, \mathrm{id}) \preccurlyeq_{M \rtimes G} M$, and hence Theorem A.8, together with the fact that $\overline{L^2(M \rtimes G)} \simeq L^2(M \rtimes G)$ as $M \rtimes G$-bimodules (e.g. [Ta01, Proposition IX.3.2]), implies

$${}_{pM\rtimes Gp}L^2(pM \rtimes Gp)_{pM\rtimes Gp} \prec {}_{pM\rtimes Gp}L^2(pM \rtimes G) \otimes_M L^2(M \rtimes Gp)_{pM\rtimes Gp}.$$

Put $H := L^2(M \rtimes G) \otimes_M L^2(M \rtimes G)$, $K := L^2(M \rtimes G)$, and denote by π_H, π_K, θ_H and θ_K associated left and right representations of $M \rtimes G$. Let ν be the $*$-homomorphism associated with $K \prec H$ and we will show ν is bounded. For this, we fix $x \in *\text{-alg}\{\pi_H(M \rtimes G), \theta_H((M \rtimes G)^\circ)\}$ and we show $\|\nu(x)\|_\infty \le \|x\|_\infty$.

For any finite projection $p \in M \rtimes G$, putting $p_H := \pi_H(p)\theta_H(p^\circ)$ and $p_K := \pi_K(p)\theta_K(p^\circ)$, the condition $pKp \prec pHp$ implies

$$\|p_K \nu(x) p_K\|_\infty \le \|p_H x p_H\|_\infty.$$

Recall that for any projections $p_i \in \mathbb{B}(L^2(M \rtimes G))$ converging to 1 strongly, we have $\|X\|_\infty = \sup_i \|p_i X p_i\|_\infty$ for any $X \in \mathbb{B}(L^2(M \rtimes G))$. Using this observation, we have

$$\|\nu(x)\|_\infty = \sup_p \|p_K \nu(x) p_K\|_\infty \le \sup_p \|p_H x p_H\|_\infty = \|x\|_\infty,$$

where p runs over all Tr-finite projections in $M \rtimes G$. This is the desired condition. □

A.3 A lemma for approximation maps

In this subsection, we prove a lemma for approximation maps, which is a main ingredient of the proof of Theorem 3.7. We fix the following setting. Let (M, φ) be a von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal state, and G an amenable locally compact second countable group which acts on M. Assume that the crossed product $\widetilde{M} := M \rtimes G$ is semifinite. We write as $\widehat{\varphi}$ the dual weight of φ, and as E_M the canonical operator valued weight from $\widetilde{M}$ to M given by $\widehat{\varphi} = \varphi \circ E_M$. We write as $M \rtimes_{\mathrm{alg}} G$ all the linear spans of $x\lambda_t$ for $x \in M$ and $t \in G$, which is a $*$-strongly dense subalgebra in $\widetilde{M}$.

Lemma A.10. *In this setting, there is a net $(\omega_j)_j$ of c.c.p. maps on $\widetilde{M}$ such that $\omega_j \to \mathrm{id}_{\widetilde{M}}$ point σ-weakly and each ω_j is a finite sum of $\lambda_q^* E_M(z^* \cdot y)\lambda_p$ for some $y, z \in \mathfrak{n}_{E_M}$ and $p, q \in G$.*

Proof. By Corollary A.9 and Proposition A.2, there is a net $(\omega_j)_j$ of c.c.p. maps on $\widetilde{M}$ such that $\omega_j \to \mathrm{id}_{\widetilde{M}}$ point σ-weakly and each ω_j is a finite sum of $\langle \eta, \cdot\, \eta \rangle_{X(L^2(\widetilde{M}) \otimes_M L^2(\widetilde{M}))}$ for some $\eta \in X(L^2(\widetilde{M}) \otimes_M L^2(\widetilde{M}))$. We first replace each η in ω_j with some "algebraic" element in $X(L^2(\widetilde{M}) \otimes_M L^2(\widetilde{M}))$.

By Lemma A.3, the self dual completion X of $\overline{\mathfrak{n}_{E_M}} \otimes_{\mathrm{alg}} \widetilde{M}$ is identified as the one corresponding to $L^2(\widetilde{M}) \otimes_M L^2(\widetilde{M})$. We denote by X_0 the image of $\overline{\mathfrak{n}_{E_M}} \otimes_{\mathrm{alg}} \widetilde{M}$ in X. By [Pa75, Lemma 2.3], $X_0 \subset X$ is dense in the *s-topology*, that is, for any $\eta \in X$ there is a net $(\eta_i)_i \subset X_0$ such that $\langle \eta - \eta_i, \eta - \eta_i \rangle_X \to 0$ in the σ-weak topology in $\widetilde{M}$. In our case, since $\mathfrak{n}_{E_B} \subset \overline{\mathfrak{n}_{E_B}}$ is dense in the s-topology and since $M \rtimes_{\mathrm{alg}} G \subset \widetilde{M}$ is $*$-strongly dense, the image of $\mathfrak{n}_{E_M} \otimes_{\mathrm{alg}} (M \rtimes_{\mathrm{alg}} G)$ is dense in X in the s-topology. Hence we may replace each $\eta \in X$ in ω_j above with the one represented by elements in $\mathfrak{n}_{E_M} \otimes_{\mathrm{alg}} (M \rtimes_{\mathrm{alg}} G)$.

Thus, we replaced each η in ω_j, so that each ω_j is a finite sum of $\lambda_q^* E_M(z^* \cdot y)\lambda_p$ for some $y, z \in \mathfrak{n}_{E_M}$ and $p, q \in G$. However the c.b. norms of the resulting net $(\omega_j)_j$ is no longer uniformly bounded. So we have to replace $(\omega_j)_j$ with c.c.p. maps. To do so we assume that, replacing with convex combinations, the convergence $\omega_j \to \mathrm{id}_{\widetilde{M}}$ is in the point strong topology.

Recall from (the first half of) the proof of [AD88, Lemma 2.2] that if we put $\varphi_i(x) := c_j \omega_j(x) c_j$ for $x \in \widetilde{M}$, where $c_j := 2(1 + \omega_j(1))^{-1}$, then the net $(\varphi_i)_i$ satisfies that each φ_i is c.c.p. and that $\varphi_i \to \mathrm{id}_{\widetilde{M}}$ in the point strong topology. We will replace c_j with elements in $M \rtimes_{\mathrm{alg}} G$. For this, fix j and observe that since $1 + \omega_j(1)$ is in $M \rtimes_{\mathrm{alg}} G$, each c_j is actually contained in $\mathrm{C}^*\{M \rtimes_{\mathrm{alg}} G\}$, which is the norm closure of $M \rtimes_{\mathrm{alg}} G$. So there is a sequence $(a_n)_n$ in $M \rtimes_{\mathrm{alg}} G$ such that $\|a_n\|_\infty \leq \|c_j^{1/2}\|_\infty$ and $\|a_n - c_j^{1/2}\|_\infty \to 0$. Put $b_n := a_n^* a_n \in M \rtimes_{\mathrm{alg}} G$ and observe that it satisfies $\|b_n\|_\infty \leq \|c_j\|_\infty$ and $\|b_n - c_j\|_\infty \to 0$. It then holds that for any $x \in \widetilde{M}$,

$$\|c_j \omega_j(x) c_j - b_n \omega_j(x) b_n\|_\infty \leq 2\|c_j\|_\infty \|\omega_j\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \|x\|_\infty \|c_j - b_n\|_\infty \to 0, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

Now fix any $\varepsilon > 0$ and finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset (\widetilde{M})_1$ such that $1 \in \mathcal{F}$, and choose b_n such that $\|c_j \omega_j(x) c_j - b_n \omega_j(x) b_n\|_\infty < \varepsilon$ for all $x \in \mathcal{F}$. Then since $1 \in \mathcal{F}$, we have

$$\|b_n \omega_j(\cdot) b_n\|_{\mathrm{cb}} = \|b_n \omega_j(1) b_n\|_\infty < \|c_j \omega_j(1) c_j\|_\infty + \varepsilon \leq 1 + \varepsilon.$$

So $(1+\varepsilon)^{-1} b_n \omega_j(\cdot) b_n$ is a c.c.p. map which is still close to $c_j \omega_j(\cdot) c_j$ on $\mathcal{F}$. Thus we proved that for any j there is a net of c.c.p. maps conversing to $c_j \omega_j(\cdot) c_j$ in the point *norm* topology such that each map is a finite sum of $\lambda_q^* E_M(z^* \cdot y)\lambda_p$ for some $y, z \in \mathfrak{n}_{E_M}$ and $p, q \in G$. Using this observation, since $c_j \omega_j(\cdot) c_j \to \mathrm{id}_{\widetilde{M}}$ as $j \to \infty$ in the point strong topology, it is easy to construct a desired net. $\square$

Reference

[AH88] C. Anantharaman-Delaroche and J.F. Havet, *Approximate factorizations of completely positive maps*. J. funct. Anal. **90** (1990), 411–428.

[AD88] C. Anantharaman-Delaroche, *On relative amenability for von Neumann algebras*. Compositio Math. **74** (1990), 333–352.

[AD93] C. Anantharaman-Delaroche, *Amenable correspondences and approximation properties for von Neumann algebras*. Pacific J. Math. **171** (1995), 309–341.

[Br11] M. Brannan, *Approximation properties for free orthogonal and free unitary quantum groups*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **672** (2012), 223–251.

[BDH88] M. Baillet, Y. Denizeau and J.F. Havet, *Indice d'une espérance conditionnelle*. Compositio Math. **66** (1988), 199–236.

[BHR12] R. Boutonnet, C. Houdayer, and S. Raum, *Amalgamated free product type III factors with at most one Cartan subalgebras*. Compos. Math. **150** (2014), 143–174.

[BO08] N. P. Brown and N. Ozawa, *C^*-algebras and finite-dimensional approximations*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 88. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.

[CH08] I. Chifan and C. Houdayer, *Bass-Serre rigidity results in von Neumann algebras*, Duke Math. J. **153** (2010), 23–54.

[CS11] I. Chifan and T. Sinclair, *On the structural theory of* II_1 *factors of negatively curved groups*. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **46** (2013), 1–34.

[CSU11] I. Chifan, T. Sinclair, and B. Udrea, *On the structural theory of* II_1 *factors of negatively curved groups,* II. *Actions by product groups*. Adv. Math. **245** (2013), 208–236.

[Co74] A. Connes, *A factor not anti-isomorphic to itself*. Ann. Math. (2) **101** (1975), 536–554.

[Co75] A. Connes, *Classification of injective factors*. Ann. of Math. (2) **104** (1976), 73–115.

[Co78] A. Connes, *On the spatial theory of von Neumann algebras*. J. Funct. Anal. **35** (1980), no. 2, 153–164.

[DFY13] K. De Commer, A. Freslon, and M. Yamashita, *CCAP for the discrete quantum groups* $\mathbb{F}\mathrm{O}_F$. Comm. Math. Phys. **331** (2014), 677–701.

[FM75] J. Feldman and C.C. Moore, *Ergodic equivalence relations, cohomology, and von Neumann algebras.* I *and* II. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **234** (1977), 289–324, 325–359.

[Fr12] A. Freslon, *Examples of weakly amenable discrete quantum groups*. J. Funct. Anal. **265** (2013), no 9, 2164–2187.

[Ha77a] U. Haagerup, *Operator valued weights in von Neumann algebras*, I. J. Funct. Anal. **32** (1979), 175–206.

[Ha77b] U. Haagerup, *Operator valued weights in von Neumann algebras*, II. J. Funct. Anal. **33** (1979), 339–361.

[HR10] C. Houdayer and E. Ricard, *Approximation properties and absence of Cartan subalgebra for free Araki-Woods factors*. Adv. Math. **228** (2011), 764–802.

[HI15] C. Houdayer and Y .Isono, *Unique prime factorization and bicentralizer problem for a class of type* III *factors*. Preprint, arXiv:1503.01388.

[ILP96] M. Izumi, R. Longo and S. Popa *A Galois correspondence for compact groups of automorphisms of von Neumann algebras with a generalization to Kac algebras.* J. Funct. Anal. **155** (1998), no. 1, 25–63.

[Is12] Y. Isono, *Examples of factors which have no Cartan subalgebras*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **367** (2015), 7917–7937.

[Is13] Y. Isono, *On bi-exactness of discrete quantum groups*. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2015, no. 11, 3619–3650.

[Ju05] K. Jung, *Strongly 1-bounded von Neumann algebras*. Geom. Funct. Anal. **17** (2007), no. 4, 1180–1200.

[La95] E.C. Lance, *Hilbert C^*-modules. A toolkit for operator algebraists*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 210. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[MV98] A. Maes and A. Van Daele, *Notes on compact quantum groups*. Nieuw Arch. Wisk. (4) **16** (1998), 73–112.

[Oc85] A. Ocneanu, *Actions of discrete amenable groups on von Neumann algebras.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **1138**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985. iv+115 pp.

[Oz03] N. Ozawa, *Solid von Neumann algebras*. Acta Math. **192** (2004), 111–117.

[OP07] N. Ozawa and S. Popa, *On a class of* II_1 *factors with at most one Cartan subalgebra*. Ann. of Math. (2), **172** (2010), 713–749.

[Pa73] W.L. Paschke, *Inner product modules over B^*-algebras*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **182** (1973), 443–468.

[Pa75] W.L. Paschke, *Inner product modules arising from compact automorphism groups of von Neumann algebras*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **224** (1976), 87–102.

[Po01] S. Popa, *On a class of type* II_1 *factors with Betti numbers invariants*, Ann. of Math. **163** (2006), 809–899.

[Po03] S. Popa, *Strong rigidity of* II_1 *factors arising from malleable actions of w-rigid groups* I. Invent. Math. **165** (2006), 369–408.

[PV11] S. Popa and S. Vaes, *Unique Cartan decomposition for* II_1 *factors arising from arbitrary actions of free groups*. Acta Math. **212** (2014), 141–198.

[PV12] S. Popa and S. Vaes, *Unique Cartan decomposition for* II_1 *factors arising from arbitrary actions of hyperbolic groups*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **694** (2014), 215–239.

[Ri74] M.A. Rieffel, *Morita equivalence of C^*-algebras and W^* algebras*. J. Pure Appl. Alg. **5** (1974) 51–96.

[Sa81] J. Sauvageot, *Sur le produit tensoriel relatif d'espaces de Hilbert.* J. Operator Theory **9** (1983), no. 2, 237–252.

[Sh00] D. Shlyakhtenko, *Prime Type* III *Factors*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **97**, no. 23 (2000): 12439–12441.

[Ta01] M. Takesaki, *Theory of operator algebras* II. Encyclopedia of Mathematical Sciences, 125. Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, 5. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.

[Ve04] R. Vergnioux, *Orientation of quantum Cayley trees and applications.* J. Reine Angew. Math. **580** (2005), 101–138.

[VV08] S. Vaes and N. Vander Vennet, *Poisson boundary of the discrete quantum group* $\widehat{A_u(F)}$. Compos. Math., **146**(4):1073–1095, 2010.

[VV05] S. Vaes and R. Vergnioux, *The boundary of universal discrete quantum groups, exactness, and factoriality*. Duke Math. J. **140** (2007), 35–84.

[VW95] A. Van Daele and S. Wang, *Universal quantum groups*. Internat. J. Math. **7** (1996), 255–263.

[Vo95] D. Voiculescu *The analogues of entropy and of Fisher's information measure in free probability theory* III. *The absence of Cartan subalgebras.* Geom. Funct. Anal. **6** (1996), 172–199.

[Wa94] S. Wang, *Free products of compact quantum groups*. Comm. Math. Phys. **167** (1995), no. 3, 671–692.

[Wo95] S.L. Woronowicz, *Compact quantum groups.* In Symétries quantiques (Les Houches, 1995), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1998, pp. 845–884.